

A Comparative Psychological Study of William Walker Atkinson's Your Mind and How to Use It: A Manual of Practical Psychology and Thiruvalluvar's Tirukkural

Original Research Article | Volume 1 | Issue 5 | 2026 | Article Number: 046

Accepted: 25 September 2026 | Published: 10 October 2026 | ISSN: 2979-8582 (Online)



Crossref : <https://doi.org/10.67693/BJCR-B946NI26>



Dr. S. Gothandaraman

Associate Professor, PG and Research Department Of Tamil, Thiru.Vi.Ka.Government Arts College, Thiruvavur, India

Correspondence: Dr. S. Gothandaraman, gothandatholkappiyam@gmail.com

Abstract

The human mind, its modes of thought, emotional processes, attention, memory, imagination, motivation, willpower, habits, and self-regulation constitute some of the fundamental concerns of psychology. William Walker Atkinson's *Your Mind and How to Use It: A Manual of Practical Psychology* (1911) represents an important strand of early twentieth-century practical psychology. Rather than attempting to provide an ultimate metaphysical definition of the mind, Atkinson focuses on understanding mental processes and developing practical methods for using and training the mind in everyday life. On the other hand, Thiruvalluvar's *Tirukkural*, one of the foundational classics of Tamil ethical literature, does not present psychology as an independent scientific discipline. Nevertheless, it contains extensive reflections on thought, emotional regulation, desire, anger, self-control, perseverance, knowledge, social relationships, ethical conduct, and human flourishing. Chapters such as *Adakkamudaimai* (Self-Control), *Poraiyudaimai* (Forbearance), *Azhukkaaraamai* (Freedom from Envy), *Vekkaamai* (Freedom from Covetousness), *Vekulaamai* (Freedom from Anger), and *Ukkamudaimai* (Possession of Enthusiasm) provide valuable conceptual material for comparative psychological analysis. This study does not claim that Atkinson and Thiruvalluvar belonged to the same intellectual tradition or that the *Tirukkural* anticipated modern psychology in a literal historical sense. Rather, it employs a comparative conceptual framework to examine how two texts, separated by historical period, culture, genre, and intellectual context, address related questions concerning the regulation of human thought, emotion, desire, and behaviour. The study argues that Atkinson primarily conceptualizes self-regulation in terms of mental efficiency, attention, will, habit, and individual development, whereas Thiruvalluvar integrates self-regulation with ethical responsibility, social harmony, character formation, and human welfare. Thus, although the two traditions converge on the importance of self-control, their ultimate orientations differ. Atkinson asks how the mind can be effectively used, whereas Thiruvalluvar extends the question to how the mind ought to be governed in

accordance with ethical principles. The study further proposes an interdisciplinary framework termed “Ethical Cognitive Self-Regulation”, integrating Atkinson’s concepts of attention, emotion, intellect, will, and habit with the Tirukkural’s principles of self-control, emotional restraint, perseverance, moral discipline, and social responsibility.

Keywords: Tirukkural, William Walker Atkinson, Practical Psychology, Mind, Self-Regulation, Self-Control, Attention, Emotion Regulation, Willpower, Habit Formation, Motivation, Ethical Psychology, Cognitive Psychology, Tamil Literature

INTRODUCTION

The attempt to understand the human mind is one of the oldest intellectual pursuits in human civilization. What does a person think? How are emotions generated? Why do individuals respond differently to the same situation? How do desire, anger, fear, jealousy, and other emotions influence behaviour? Can a person regulate his or her own thoughts and actions? How can willpower and self-discipline be cultivated? These questions constitute some of the central concerns of psychology. Contemporary psychology approaches such questions through experimentation, observation, measurement, behavioural research, cognitive science, and neuroscience. Yet long before the emergence of psychology as an institutionalized scientific discipline, different intellectual traditions had developed sophisticated reflections on human nature and behaviour. Within the Tamil intellectual tradition, the *Tirukkural* represents one of the most significant examples of such reflection.

In this context, William Walker Atkinson’s *Your Mind and How to Use It: A Manual of Practical Psychology* and Thiruvalluvar’s *Tirukkural* provide a productive basis for comparative study. Atkinson’s work was published in 1911 and contains chapters dealing with subjects such as: the mind, consciousness, attention, perception, memory, imagination, feelings, emotions, passions, social emotions, intellect, reasoning, will, will-training, and mental development. The structure of the book demonstrates that Atkinson regarded the mind not merely as an object of theoretical inquiry but as a practical resource that could be understood, trained, and utilized. **The title itself—*Your Mind and How to Use It*—expresses this practical orientation.**

The *Tirukkural*, by contrast, does not define the mind through the terminology of scientific psychology. Instead, it examines human thought and behaviour within an integrated ethical and social framework. It connects inner mental states with conduct, character, social responsibility, and moral life. Therefore, the central research problem of this study is: **How do Atkinson’s practical psychology and Thiruvalluvar’s ethical philosophy conceptualize the management and regulation of the human mind, and what similarities and differences emerge when they are examined through a comparative psychological framework?**

Objectives of the Study

The major objectives of the present study are:

1. To identify the major psychological concepts presented in Atkinson’s *Your Mind and How to Use It*.
2. To examine concepts of thought, emotion, desire, anger, self-control, motivation, and perseverance in the *Tirukkural*.
3. To compare Atkinson’s concepts of attention, memory, imagination, emotion, intellect, will, and habit with relevant concepts in the *Tirukkural*.
4. To reinterpret selected *Tirukkural* concepts through contemporary psychological perspectives.

5. To examine the relationship between individual mental training and ethical self-regulation.
6. To identify the theoretical strengths and limitations of Atkinson's practical psychology.
7. To investigate the potential contribution of the *Tirukkural* to interdisciplinary studies of human behaviour and ethical psychology.

Research Questions

Primary Research Question

1. How do Atkinson's practical psychology and Thiruvalluvar's ethical philosophy conceptualize human mental regulation and behavioural control?

Secondary Research Questions

1. How can Atkinson's concepts of attention and will be compared with the *Tirukkural's* concepts of self-control and enthusiasm?
2. What similarities and differences exist between Atkinson's treatment of emotional regulation and the *Tirukkural's* treatment of anger?
3. How can Atkinson's concept of habit formation be compared with the *Tirukkural's* concept of moral discipline?
4. How does Atkinson's individual-oriented psychology differ from the *Tirukkural's* ethical and socially oriented conception of self-regulation?
5. How can contemporary cognitive psychology contribute to a reinterpretation of these two intellectual traditions?

Research Hypothesis

The present study proposes the following central hypothesis: **While Atkinson presents self-regulation primarily as a means of developing mental efficiency, willpower, attention, and personal effectiveness, Thiruvalluvar conceptualizes self-regulation as an ethical process through which the individual develops character, social responsibility, and human flourishing.** Thus, although self-regulation constitutes a major point of convergence between the two traditions, the ethical orientation of the *Tirukkural* significantly expands the psychological concept of self-control.

Research Methodology

The study adopts a **qualitative comparative textual analysis**. The primary texts are:

William Walker Atkinson, *Your Mind and How to Use It: A Manual of Practical Psychology* (1911).

Thiruvalluvar's *Tirukkural*.

The major analytical categories are: **Mind → Attention → Memory → Imagination → Emotion → Desire → Self-Control → Habit → Will → Action → Character → Social Ethics** The selected passages are examined through three interpretive levels:

- **Level I – Textual Analysis, Identification** of the original concepts in Atkinson and Thiruvalluvar.
- **Level II – Comparative Analysis**, Identification of conceptual similarities and differences.
- **Level III – Psychological Interpretation**, Comparison with contemporary concepts such as: cognitive processes, attention, emotion regulation, self-regulation, motivation, habit formation, self-efficacy, resilience, and decision-making. The study does not assume historical influence between the two authors. The comparison is conceptual rather than genealogical.

Intellectual Background of Atkinson's Practical Psychology

Atkinson's *Your Mind and How to Use It* emerged in the intellectual environment of early twentieth-century practical psychology. The book classifies mental activity into broad areas including:

1. Sensation and Perception
2. Imagination and Memory
3. Feeling and Emotion
4. Intellect, Reason, and Understanding
5. Will or Volition

This classification reveals an attempt to provide readers with a functional understanding of the mind. Atkinson's fundamental question is not merely: "What is the mind?" but rather: "**How can the mind be understood and practically utilized?**" This practical orientation distinguishes the work from purely philosophical discussions of consciousness. The mind is treated as an instrument capable of training and development.

The Concept of Mind: Atkinson and the *Tirukkural*

Atkinson approaches the mind in terms of its various functions—perception, thought, memory, imagination, emotion, intellect, and will. The *Tirukkural*, however, does not provide a systematic psychological definition of mind. Nevertheless, it repeatedly emphasizes the importance of the inner mental state. For example, *Tirukkural* 34 states:

**“மனத்துக்கண் மாசிலன் ஆதல் அனைத்தறன்
ஆகுல நீர பிற.”**

The verse places purity of mind at the foundation of virtue. From a psychological perspective, the verse can be interpreted as emphasizing the relationship between inner cognitive-emotional states and outward moral behaviour. Thus, Atkinson may be characterized as emphasizing the **functional mind**, whereas Thiruvalluvar emphasizes the **ethical mind**. This distinction is central to the present study.

Attention: Atkinson and Mental Concentration

Attention occupies an important position in Atkinson's psychological system. He distinguishes between involuntary and voluntary attention and emphasizes the importance of directing the mind deliberately toward a chosen object. Attention, in his framework, is closely associated with will and mental efficiency. From the perspective of contemporary cognitive psychology, this may be compared with:

- selective attention,
- sustained attention,
- attentional control, and
- executive functioning.

Although the *Tirukkural* does not use the modern psychological term "attention," several of its teachings emphasize deliberate thought before action. The famous couplet 467 states:

**“எண்ணித் துணிக கருமம்; துணிந்தபின்
எண்ணுவம் என்பது இழுக்கு.”**

The basic principle is: **Think → Evaluate → Decide → Act**. This can be interpreted as an early ethical formulation of deliberative decision-making. Atkinson emphasizes attention for mental efficiency, whereas Thiruvalluvar emphasizes thoughtful deliberation for appropriate action.

Memory and Learning

Memory constitutes another important area of Atkinson's practical psychology. He associates memory with: attention, interest, association, mental imagery, and repetition. From the perspective of contemporary cognitive psychology, these processes may be related to: encoding, storage, retrieval, attention, and mental representation.

The *Tirukkural* does not provide a separate theory of memory. However, learning, knowledge, reflection, and the practical use of knowledge occupy an important place in the text. Thus, Atkinson views memory primarily as an aspect of **mental efficiency**, whereas Thiruvalluvar places knowledge within the broader framework of **wise and ethical living**.

Imagination and Mental Representation

Atkinson treats imagination as an important function through which previously experienced elements can be reorganized into new mental constructions.

Imagination can therefore contribute to: creativity, planning, anticipation, visualization, and mental rehearsal. Contemporary psychology recognizes mental imagery as a significant cognitive process. The *Tirukkural* similarly emphasizes foresight and deliberation, although not through the technical language of "mental imagery." The common psychological principle can be represented as: **Mental representation → anticipation → evaluation → action.**

Feelings and Emotions

Atkinson devotes considerable attention to feelings and emotions. His discussion includes: instinctive emotions, passions, social emotions, intellectual emotions, emotional influence, the role of emotions, and emotions and happiness. This demonstrates that he does not treat emotion as an insignificant part of human psychology. Rather, emotions are presented as powerful forces influencing behaviour. From a contemporary perspective, emotional awareness and regulation are fundamental components of psychological functioning.

Vekulaamai: Anger and Emotional Regulation

The *Tirukkural* devotes an entire chapter—*Vekulaamai* (Freedom from Anger)—to the psychological and ethical consequences of anger. Kural 305 states:

**“தன்னைத்தான் காக்கின் சினங்காக்க; காவாக்கால்
தன்னையே கொல்லுஞ் சினம்.”**

The central idea is that uncontrolled anger can ultimately destroy the person who experiences it. From a contemporary psychological perspective, the process may be represented as: **Trigger → Emotional arousal → Appraisal → Impulse → Behaviour**. The *Tirukkural* introduces a regulatory stage between emotional arousal and behaviour: **Awareness → Restraint → Ethical Response**. This is remarkably compatible with contemporary discussions of emotional regulation. Atkinson similarly emphasizes the importance of controlling attention and mental imagery associated with emotional stimulation. Therefore, both traditions recognize that emotional experience does not necessarily have to determine behaviour.

Anger and Cognitive Appraisal

Modern psychological theories of emotion emphasize the importance of cognitive appraisal. The same event can produce different emotional responses depending upon how it is interpreted. For example: “He insulted me; therefore I must retaliate.” may intensify anger. An alternative interpretation might be: “I am

angry, but I can decide how to respond.” This introduces cognitive mediation between stimulus and behaviour. The *Tirukkural* repeatedly recommends restraint even when one has been seriously harmed. Kural 308 states:

**“இணரெரி தோய்வன்ன இன்னா செயினும்
புணரின் வெகுளாமை நன்று.”**

The ethical principle is that even severe provocation should not automatically produce destructive anger. This can be interpreted as an early ethical model of response inhibition and emotional regulation.

Will and *Ukkamudaimai*

The concepts of will and determination occupy a central position in Atkinson’s later chapters. The final sections of the book specifically address: The Will, Will-Training, Will-Tonic. Atkinson associates will with purposeful action, persistence, discipline, and the capacity to direct mental activity. The *Tirukkural* similarly gives considerable importance to *Ukkam*—enthusiasm, energy, determination, and mental vigour. Kural 591 states:

**“உடையர் எனப்படுவது ஊக்கம்; அகதில்லார்
உடையது உடையரோ மற்று.”**

Here enthusiasm or inner drive is treated as a fundamental human possession. Kural 600 states:

**“உரமொருவற்கு உள்ள வெறுக்கை; அகதில்லார்
மரம்மக்க ளாதலே வேறு.”**

The couplet emphasizes inner strength and determination as essential dimensions of human excellence. Thus, a conceptual relationship may be established:

Atkinson: Will → Persistence → Achievement

Thiruvalluvar: Ukkam → Effort → Achievement

A Critical View of Willpower

Atkinson’s motivational language sometimes gives considerable power to individual will. However, contemporary behavioural science recognizes that human achievement is influenced by multiple factors: social environment, economic resources, education, physical circumstances, opportunities, social support, institutional structures, skills, and persistence. Consequently, willpower should not be treated as a universal solution to every human problem. The *Tirukkural* itself provides a more contextualized approach by connecting determination with knowledge, timing, appropriate action, and practical wisdom. This suggests that Thiruvalluvar’s conception of agency is not merely individualistic but context-sensitive.

Habit Formation and Moral Discipline

Habit formation is an important element in Atkinson’s account of will-training. Repeated mental or behavioural patterns gradually become established habits. The process may be represented as: **Repetition → Habit → Behavioural tendency** Atkinson emphasizes the possibility of replacing undesirable habits with more desirable patterns through deliberate practice. The *Tirukkural* provides an ethical counterpart through its emphasis on *Ozhukkam*—moral discipline and conduct. Kural 131 states:

“ஒழுக்கம் விழுப்பந் தரலான் ஒழுக்கம் உயிரினும் ஓம்பப் படும்.”

Here discipline is not simply a single action but a sustained pattern of conduct. Thus:

Atkinson: Repeated action → Habit

Thiruvalluvar: Repeated ethical conduct → Character

This distinction is theoretically significant.

Self-Control: The Central Point of Convergence

The concept of self-control represents perhaps the strongest meeting point between Atkinson and Thiruvalluvar. The *Tirukkural's* chapter *Adakkamudaimai* (Self-Control) contains Kurals 121–130. Kural 121 states:

**“அடக்கம் அமரருள் உய்க்கும்; அடங்காமை
ஆரிருள் உய்த்து விடும்.”**

Kural 122 adds:

**காக்க பொருளா அடக்கத்தை; ஆக்கம்
அதனினுடங்க கில்லை உயிர்க்கு.”**

These verses place self-control among the highest human virtues. Kural 126 uses the image of a tortoise withdrawing its limbs to illustrate control over the senses:

**“ஒருமையுள் ஆமைபோல் ஐந்தடக்கல் ஆற்றின்
எழுமையும் ஏமாப்பு உடைத்து.”**

Atkinson also treats the control of passions and impulses as a function of will and attention. Thus, both traditions recognize the necessity of regulating impulses rather than becoming their passive victims.

Functional Self-Control versus Ethical Self-Control

The difference between the two traditions becomes particularly clear here.

Atkinson: Self-Control → Mental Efficiency → Personal Effectiveness

Thiruvalluvar: Self-Control → Ethical Character → Social Responsibility → Human Flourishing

Therefore, Atkinson's self-control may be described as primarily **functional**, while Thiruvalluvar's self-control is fundamentally **ethical and social**. This is one of the principal findings of the study.

Desire and Impulse Control

The *Tirukkural* devotes considerable attention to desire and covetousness. The chapter *Vekkaamai* (Freedom from Covetousness) emphasizes the dangers of desiring what belongs to others.

From a psychological perspective, this may be associated with: impulse control, desire regulation, social comparison, delayed gratification, and self-regulation. Kural 174 states that individuals who possess self-control do not desire the possessions of others even under conditions of scarcity. Thus, the *Tirukkural* conceptualizes desire not merely as an internal psychological event but as a moral issue with social consequences.

Forbearance and Psychological Resilience

The *Tirukkural's* chapter *Poraiyudaimai* (Forbearance) presents patience as a major human virtue. Kural 151 states:

“அகழ்வாரைத் தாங்கும் நிலம்போலத் தம்மை

இகழ்வார்ப் பொறுத்தல் தலை.”

The earth metaphorically tolerates those who dig into it. Likewise, a morally strong person tolerates insult. This can be compared with contemporary concepts such as: frustration tolerance, emotional resilience, psychological flexibility, forgiveness, and adaptive coping. Kural 152 goes further by suggesting that forgetting an injury can be even better than merely enduring it. This is particularly interesting from the perspective of emotion regulation because persistent rumination can maintain negative emotional states.

Envy and Social Comparison

The *Tirukkural*'s chapter *Azhukkaaramai* (Freedom from Envy) offers another important psychological dimension. Envy may be conceptualized psychologically as: **Social comparison → Perceived disadvantage → Negative emotion → Hostile cognition or behaviour** The *Tirukkural* treats envy as an internal corruption of the mind. This allows the concept to be connected with contemporary research on social comparison and self-evaluation. Atkinson's emphasis on mental control can similarly be interpreted as encouraging individuals to direct attention away from destructive mental patterns. However, the *Tirukkural* goes further by transforming emotional regulation into an ethical obligation.

Intellect, Reason, and Decision-Making

Atkinson devotes a substantial portion of his book to intellect, judgment, reasoning, inductive reasoning, deductive reasoning, and fallacious reasoning. This is important because it demonstrates that his work is not merely a book of positive thinking. His model may be represented as: **Perception → Concept → Judgment → Reasoning → Decision → Action** The *Tirukkural* similarly emphasizes knowledge, learning, deliberation, judgment, and appropriate action. The famous Kural 467:

**“எண்ணித் துணிக கருமம்; துணிந்தபின்
எண்ணுவம் என்பது இழுக்கு.”**

emphasizes thoughtful decision-making before action. Thus:

Atkinson: Reasoning trains the mind.

Thiruvalluvar: Reasoning disciplines action.

Mental Training and Ethical Training

The practical exercises implied by Atkinson's system include: training attention, strengthening memory, developing imagination, controlling emotions, strengthening will, cultivating habits, and improving reasoning. The *Tirukkural* similarly encourages: mental purity, sensory restraint, anger control, patience, freedom from envy, freedom from covetousness, perseverance, and ethical conduct. The difference can therefore be expressed as follows:

Atkinson: Training the Mind

Thiruvalluvar: Training the Person through the Mind

This distinction is fundamental.

Mental Efficiency and Moral Efficiency

Atkinson's practical psychology primarily seeks to improve the effective use of mental capacities.

The mind becomes a resource for personal development. Thiruvalluvar, however, does not regard effectiveness as sufficient. A person must combine: knowledge, self-control, patience, courage, integrity,

and ethical responsibility. Therefore, the *Tirukkural* may be described as moving from **mental efficiency** toward **moral efficiency**. When the two perspectives are integrated, the resulting concept may be termed:

Ethically Regulated Mental Efficiency

Self-Efficacy and *Ukkam*

Albert Bandura's concept of self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in his or her capacity to organize and perform actions required to achieve particular goals. The *Tirukkural's* concept of *Ukkam* is broader. Kural 594 states:

**“ஆக்கம் அதர்வினாய்ச் செல்லும் அசைவிலா
ஊக்க முடையான் உழை.”**

The verse emphasizes persistent effort and unwavering motivation. The two concepts can be compared, but they should not be treated as identical. **Self-efficacy** is a specific psychological construct developed through empirical research. **Ukkam** is a broader ethical and existential conception of human energy, determination, and perseverance.

Emotion Regulation as Moral Responsibility

Modern emotion-regulation theories emphasize the ability to identify, evaluate, modify, and appropriately express emotions. The *Tirukkural* adds an ethical dimension. Its model can be represented as: **Emotional Awareness → Restraint → Appropriate Response → Ethical Conduct** Thus: **Emotion regulation is not merely a means of achieving personal psychological well-being; it is also a form of moral responsibility.** If uncontrolled anger harms another person, emotional self-control becomes socially and ethically significant. This is one of the most valuable contributions of the *Tirukkural* to contemporary interdisciplinary psychological interpretation.

Critical Evaluation of Atkinson's Psychology

Atkinson's work should be interpreted within its historical context. It was published in 1911 and therefore predates the enormous developments that subsequently occurred in: experimental psychology, cognitive science, behavioural science, neuroscience, neuropsychology, and evidence-based clinical psychology. Some of Atkinson's ideas resemble concepts recognized by contemporary psychology. However, conceptual resemblance does not establish direct historical continuity or scientific equivalence. For example: **Atkinson:** Attention training **Contemporary psychology:** Attentional control

The conceptual similarity is valuable for comparative interpretation, but it does not mean that Atkinson developed modern cognitive psychology in its present scientific form.

A Critical Evaluation of Positive Thinking

Atkinson's emphasis on will, determination, and self-belief is motivationally powerful. Nevertheless, an exclusive focus on positive thinking can become theoretically problematic. Human achievement is shaped by: **Will + Skill + Opportunity + Environment + Social Support + Persistence** rather than willpower alone. A person cannot simply think positively and eliminate poverty, discrimination, illness, structural inequality, or material deprivation. This limitation should be explicitly recognized in a scholarly interpretation of Atkinson. The *Tirukkural* provides a useful corrective because it integrates individual effort with practical wisdom, social relationships, ethical responsibility, and contextual judgment.

Methodological Caution in Reading the *Tirukkural* Psychologically

The *Tirukkural* should not be described as a modern psychology textbook. It is fundamentally: an ethical text, a work of moral philosophy, a text on human conduct, a social and political work, and a classical Tamil literary masterpiece. Its concepts can be reinterpreted using contemporary psychological frameworks, but such reinterpretation must be distinguished from claiming that Thiruvalluvar consciously formulated modern psychological theories. Therefore, the appropriate scholarly statement is: **The *Tirukkural* contains sophisticated observations on human thought, emotion, motivation, self-control, and behaviour that can be productively reinterpreted through contemporary psychological frameworks.**

Comparative Framework

Psychological Construct	Atkinson	<i>Tirukkural</i>	Contemporary Psychological Connection
Mind	Mental functions	Inner moral state	Cognitive processes
Attention	Voluntary attention	Deliberative thought	Selective attention
Memory	Mental representation	Knowledge and learning	Encoding and retrieval
Imagination	Mental imagery	Anticipatory thought	Mental simulation
Emotion	Feelings and emotions	Anger, envy, desire	Emotion regulation
Passion	Regulation through will	Sensory restraint	Impulse control
Will	Volition	Ukkam	Self-regulation
Habit	Repetition	Moral discipline	Habit formation
Anger	Emotional control	Vekulaamai	Anger regulation
Desire	Control of impulses	Vekkaamai	Impulse regulation
Envy	Emotional management	Azhukkaaraamai	Social comparison
Patience	Emotional control	Poraiyudaimai	Resilience
Reason	Judgment and reasoning	Knowledge and deliberation	Decision-making
Goal	Mental efficiency	Ethical living	Human flourishing

Proposed Theoretical Model: Ethical Cognitive Self-Regulation

Based on the comparative analysis, this study proposes a conceptual model called: **Ethical Cognitive Self-Regulation Model**. The model consists of the following stages:

1. Perception - 2. Attention - 3. Cognition - 4. Emotional Awareness - 5. Self-Regulation - 6. Ethical Appraisal - 7. Decision-Making - 8. Action - 9. Habit Formation - 10. Character Formation

In this model, Atkinson contributes: **Attention + Emotion + Intellect + Will + Habit** while the *Tirukkural* contributes: **Purity of Mind + Self-Control + Anger Regulation + Forbearance + Motivation + Ethical**

Conduct The integration produces a broader psychological model in which cognition and emotion are regulated not merely for efficiency but for ethical action.

From Mind Management to Moral Mind Management

The deepest conceptual distinction between the two traditions may be expressed through two questions. **Atkinson asks: How can I use my mind effectively? Thiruvalluvar asks: How should I govern my mind so that my conduct becomes ethically worthy?** Thus: **Atkinson teaches the individual to use the mind; Thiruvalluvar teaches the individual to govern the self through the mind.** This distinction provides a powerful conceptual basis for interdisciplinary research.

Social Psychological Dimension

Although Atkinson's work is largely individual-oriented, he also discusses social emotions. The *Tirukkural*, however, extends psychological self-regulation into the social domain through discussions of: friendship, false friendship, generosity, patience, envy, covetousness, social responsibility, speech, justice, and interpersonal conduct. Therefore, the *Tirukkural's* model of self-regulation may be represented as: **Self → Other → Community** This distinguishes it from a purely individualistic model of self-development.

Mental Well-Being and Happiness

Atkinson devotes attention to emotions and happiness. His discussion implies that happiness is influenced not merely by external circumstances but also by internal mental states. The *Tirukkural* similarly connects human well-being with: virtue, love, generosity, emotional balance, social relationships, and ethical living. Thus, both traditions recognize that inner psychological states influence the quality of human experience. However, Thiruvalluvar goes beyond subjective happiness by connecting well-being with moral and social life.

Beyond Individualistic Psychology

One of the limitations of practical self-help psychology is the tendency to frame life problems primarily as problems of individual mentality. Atkinson's approach can sometimes be read as: "Strengthen your mind and improve your life." The *Tirukkural* introduces a broader question: **"How should a strengthened mind relate to other human beings and society?"** Thus, the *Tirukkural* moves from: **Individual Psychology → Interpersonal Ethics → Social Psychology** This provides an important expansion of the concept of self-regulation.

Major Findings of the Study

The comparative analysis leads to the following major findings.

- Self-regulation constitutes the strongest conceptual intersection between Atkinson and Thiruvalluvar.
- Atkinson explains self-regulation largely through attention and will.
- Thiruvalluvar integrates self-regulation with ethical character.
- Atkinson's habit theory can be productively compared with the *Tirukkural's* concept of moral discipline.
- Atkinson's discussion of emotional control and the *Tirukkural's* *Vekulaamai* offer a significant comparative field for the study of emotion regulation.
- The *Tirukkural's* treatment of anger, envy, desire, and patience can be interpreted through contemporary psychological concepts such as impulse control, social comparison, resilience, and emotional regulation.

- Atkinson's emphasis on individual will can be expanded through the *Tirukkural's* ethical and social dimensions.
- There is no adequate basis for claiming direct historical influence between Atkinson and Thiruvalluvar. Their relationship is best understood as a conceptual and comparative dialogue.

CONCLUSION

William Walker Atkinson's *Your Mind and How to Use It* and Thiruvalluvar's *Tirukkural* emerged from very different historical and intellectual environments. They cannot legitimately be described as identical works or as direct continuations of one another. Nevertheless, they share a significant conceptual concern: **the regulation of the human mind and behaviour**. Atkinson approaches the mind through: **Attention → Memory → Imagination → Emotion → Intellect → Will → Habit**

while Thiruvalluvar approaches human self-regulation through: **Thought → Self-Control → Emotional Restraint → Forbearance → Motivation → Moral Discipline → Ethical Action**. The central point of convergence is therefore: **Human beings need not remain passive victims of their impulses; they can develop the capacity to understand, regulate, and redirect their mental and behavioural tendencies**. Yet the ultimate objectives differ. Atkinson asks: **How can the mind be used effectively?** Thiruvalluvar asks a deeper ethical question: **How should the mind be governed so that human life becomes morally and socially meaningful?** Therefore, the central theoretical conclusion of this study is: **Mind Management → Self-Regulation → Ethical Self-Regulation → Human Flourishing**. The *Tirukkural* should not be transformed into a modern psychology textbook. Rather, it should be recognized as a profound classical Tamil ethical text containing observations about human cognition, emotion, motivation, self-control, and social behaviour that can be fruitfully engaged in dialogue with contemporary psychology.

Similarly, Atkinson's work should not be treated as a complete scientific psychology in the contemporary sense. It is more appropriately understood as an important historical example of practical psychological thinking that emphasized the cultivation and utilization of mental capacities. Thus, the central proposition of the present study may be stated as: **"Atkinson teaches the individual to master the mind; Thiruvalluvar teaches the individual to master the self through the mind."**

Suggestions for Further Research

The present study opens several avenues for future interdisciplinary research:

1. Atkinson, the *Tirukkural*, and William James: A Comparative Study of Mind and Consciousness.
2. Atkinson and the *Tirukkural* in relation to Carl Rogers' theory of self-development.
3. Atkinson, the *Tirukkural*, and Albert Bandura's theory of self-efficacy.
4. Self-regulation in the *Tirukkural* and contemporary cognitive psychology.
5. *Vekulaamai* and contemporary theories of emotion regulation.
6. *Ukkamudaimai* and self-efficacy theory.
7. *Adakkamudaimai* and executive control.
8. *Poraiyudaimai* and psychological resilience.
9. *Azhukkaaraamai* and social comparison theory.
10. Ethical self-regulation and human flourishing in classical Tamil thought.

References

Primary Source

Atkinson, William Walker. (1911). *Your Mind and How to Use It: A Manual of Practical Psychology*. Holyoke, Massachusetts: Elizabeth Towne Co.

Important chapters include:

“What is the Mind” “Consciousness” “Attention” “Perception” “Memory”
 “Imagination” “Feelings” “Emotions” “Passions” “Social Emotions” “The Role
 of the Emotions” “Emotions and Happiness” “Intellect” “Judgments”
 “Reasoning” “Fallacious Reasoning” “The Will” “Will-Training” “Will-Tonic”

Primary Tamil Text

Thiruvalluvar. *Tirukkural*, with traditional commentaries, including the commentary of Parimelazhagar.

Major chapters utilized in the present study include:

- *Adakkamudaimai* — Self-Control — Kurals 121–130
- *Poraiyudaimai* — Forbearance — Kurals 151–160
- *Azhukkaaraamai* — Freedom from Envy — Kurals 161–170
- *Vekkaamai* — Freedom from Covetousness — Kurals 171–180
- *Vekulaamai* — Freedom from Anger — Kurals 301–310
- *Ukkamudaimai* — Possession of Enthusiasm — Kurals 591–600

English Translations of the Tirukkural

- Pope, G. U. (1886). *The Sacred Kural of Tiruvalluva Nayanar*. London: W. H. Allen & Co.
- Drew, W. H., & Lazarus, J. (trans.). *Various editions of The Kural of Tiruvalluvar*.

Psychological and Theoretical References

Bandura, Albert. (1997). *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*. New York: W. H. Freeman.

Baumeister, Roy F., & Tierney, John. (2011). *Willpower: Rediscovering the Greatest Human Strength*. New York: Penguin Press.

Beck, Aaron T. (1976). *Cognitive Therapy and the Emotional Disorders*. New York: International Universities Press.

Gross, James J. (1998). “The Emerging Field of Emotion Regulation: An Integrative Review.” *Review of General Psychology*, 2(3), 271–299.

James, William. (1890). *The Principles of Psychology*. New York: Henry Holt.

Kahneman, Daniel. (2011). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Lazarus, Richard S. (1991). *Emotion and Adaptation*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Rogers, Carl R. (1961). *On Becoming a Person*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.



© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)