
Social-Media in the Workplace: How Ethics, Rules, and Oversight Shape What We Say Online

Original Research Article | Volume 1 | Issue 5 | 2026 | Article Number: 023

Accepted: 16 September 2026 | Published: 10 October 2026 | ISSN: 2979-8582 (Online)



Crossref : <https://doi.org/10.67693/BJCR-ESFH6AUZ>



Dr. Satyendra Kant Maurya

Assistant Professor (Sociology), Sarvodaya P. G. College, Ghosi, Mau, Uttar Pradesh, India

 SKM [0009-0009-4990-3693](https://orcid.org/0009-0009-4990-3693)

Correspondence: Dr. Satyendra Kant Maurya, satyendrabhu007@gmail.com

Abstract

Social-media is quick, interactive, and always changing. That's what makes it fun for people, but it also creates problems for companies. Most organizations want to manage how they talk to the public and avoid any controversy, but Social-media is open and unpredictable. That's why companies make Social-media policies-to guide how their employees behave online. But making a good Social-media policy is not easy. It's important to understand how different platforms like Twitter, Facebook, or YouTube actually work-what they allow people to do. These features are called "affordances" by researchers. For example; Twitter is fast and good for short updates, Facebook allows more detailed sharing, and YouTube is all about videos. Each platform has its own style, and users behave differently on each. This paper starts by explaining these differences. Then it looks at why companies need Social-media policies not just to stop people from saying wrong things; but also, to protect the company's image and promote honest and respectful communication.

Keywords: Social-Media Policy, Platform Affordances, Online Governance, Digital Ethics, Public Relations, Communication Control

INTRODUCTION

Social-media is a space where people share photos, videos, and messages very quickly. But this freedom can also bring problems. For any group, company, or organisation that wants to manage its message carefully, Social-media can be risky. Once something is posted; it spreads fast, and it's hard to control how people react. That's why many groups are careful about what they post. To use Social-media properly; we need to understand how each platform works.¹ These features are called affordances. This means what a platform allows people to do. For example, Twitter is good for short messages. Instagram is focused on pictures and videos. WhatsApp is mostly used for private chats. Facebook allows both private and public sharing². Knowing how these work helps people create better communication.

Social-media is not just about technology. It's about how people use it. Different platforms may use similar tools, but people behave differently on each one. Some are used to stay in touch with friends and family, while others help people meet new people who share the same interests, like music, sports, or opinions³. Platforms can also feel different depending on the type of people using them. Some are open to all. Others attract people who speak the same language; follow the same culture, or have similar habits. Many platforms now offer tools like video-sharing, blogging, and live streaming. These are not just for fun; they are also used to express ideas, support causes, or promote products. Social-media often reflects real-life relationships⁴. Most people talk online with people they already know; like family, friends, or co-workers. So even though we can connect with strangers, most conversations happen within familiar circles. That's why Social-media is both relational (about connections) and conversational (about communication). Today, mobile phones are everywhere, and they are deeply connected to how people live. People use them to express feelings, share thoughts, and take part in public discussions. This "always-on" lifestyle means people are almost never offline⁵. It is changing how we talk, share, and understand each other. For example, many campaigns and protests now happen online. Families stream events live. Young people follow trends through short videos and memes. But this also means that if someone does not understand how a platform works; or what kind of language or style is common; they might fail to reach others. For instance, a serious message written in a formal tone might not work on a fun platform (Social-media.org, n.d.). A company or public figure that ignores what people are talking about online may appear disconnected. So, it is very important to understand both the features of each platform and the way people behave and talk on them⁶.

What Is a Social Network?

A social network is a website or app where people connect with others who have similar interests, hobbies, or activities. For example, on Facebook, people make their profile, add friends, share posts, photos, and videos, and talk with others. They can also follow pages of companies, brands, and public figures. People use Facebook to stay in touch, share updates, and be part of groups⁷. On social networks, the main focus is on talking, sharing, and staying connected. In the beginning, these platforms were mostly used to keep in

¹Reuters. (2013). Reporting from the internet and using Social-media. Handbook of Journalism.

²Honeycutt, C., & Herring, S. C. (2009). Beyond microblogging: Conversation and collaboration via Twitter. In *System Sciences, 2009. HICSS'09. 42nd Hawaii International Conference on* (pp. 1–10). IEEE.

³Jenkins, H., Ford, S., & Green, J. (2013). *Spreadable media: Creating value and meaning in a networked culture*. New York University Press.

⁴Hill & Knowlton. (2005). *Marketing technology: Collective conversations code of conduct*.

⁵Hilderbrand, L. (2007). YouTube: Where cultural memory and copyright converge. *Film Quarterly*, 61(1), 48–57.

⁶Jenkins, H., Ford, S., & Green, J. (2013). *Spreadable media: Creating value and meaning in a networked culture*. New York University Press.

⁷Sullivan, M. (2012, October 17). After an outburst on Twitter, The Times reinforces its Social-media guidelines. *The New York Times*.

touch with friends⁸. But now, many young people use them more for chatting, commenting, or following others. Examples of Social Networks: Facebook, Google+ (was used earlier, now not popular). *Good Points of Social Networks*: Social networks help people and organisations reach more people easily⁹. If a company stays active on platforms like Facebook, it can build stronger relationships with its customers and send more visitors to its main website. If a brand talks with its followers, shares posts regularly, and uses photos and videos to start conversations, it can grow faster. But it's also important to take care of the page; removing unwanted comments or spam, and replying on time. A study by¹⁰ Bortree & Seltzer, 2009) said that when companies start real conversations online, they get more people interested and connected with them.

Problems with Social Networks

Social networks are not owned by you; they belong to private companies. These companies run their platforms to make money. So, they decide what people see on their feeds using algorithms (a computer system that picks what shows up first). These rules are often complicated and can change anytime. If you break their rules, your post; or even your account; can be removed. Also, social networks need someone to keep checking and posting regularly. People expect answers quickly. If they don't get a reply, they may get angry publicly. Small complaints can become big problems if ignored¹¹. Another issue is trolling. Trolling means when someone (or a group) posts rude or offensive comments to disturb the page. One example: in 2010, PETA (an animal rights group) protested against a fashion designer Donna Karan. They posted messages on her Facebook page using letters that spelled "DK BUNNY BUTCHER" because she used animal fur. This made news and caused public debate online.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In the digital age, social media platforms have become integral to organizational communication, offering both opportunities and challenges. Understanding how organizations perceive and govern social media use is crucial for fostering effective communication and mitigating potential risks.

Platform Affordances and Organizational Policies; Leonardi and Treem (2012) introduced the concept of "affordances" to describe the action potentials provided by social media platforms. They identified four key affordances: visibility, persistence, editability, and association. These affordances influence how organizations perceive and govern social media use among employees. Their study highlighted that organizations often focus on managing risks associated with visibility and persistence, such as unauthorized information sharing, while also recognizing the potential value of social media for collaboration and innovation. Majchrzak and Markus (2013) further explored how organizations design policies to leverage these affordances. They emphasized that policies should not only mitigate risks but also harness the positive aspects of social media, such as fostering knowledge sharing and enhancing organizational transparency. Their work underscores the need for a balanced approach in policy formulation that considers both control and empowerment.

Ethical Considerations in Social Media Use; Grigorescu and Baiasu (2023) examined the ethical implications of social media use, particularly concerning privacy and misinformation. They argued that

⁸ Solis, B. (2012). *The end of business as usual*. Wiley.

⁹ Müller, E. (2009). Where quality matters: Discourses on the art of making a YouTube video. In *YouTube Reader* (pp. 126–139).

¹⁰ Bortree, D., & Seltzer, T. (2009). Dialogic strategies and outcomes: An analysis of environmental advocacy groups' Facebook profiles. *Public Relations Review*, 35(3), 317–319.

¹¹ Treem, J. W., & Leonardi, P. M. (2012). Social-media use in organizations: Exploring the affordances of visibility, editability, persistence, and association. *Communication Yearbook*, 36, 143–189.

many social media platforms violate ethical principles, often knowingly, to achieve financial gains or manipulate users. Their study calls for organizations to establish clear ethical guidelines and policies to protect users' rights and promote responsible usage. Pascoe (2021) focused on the ethical challenges in social care settings, highlighting that existing ethical frameworks often fail to address the complexities introduced by social media. She advocated for a more nuanced understanding of ethics that considers the broader organizational and societal impacts of social media use.

Organizational Communication and Governance; Kane et al. (2009) explored how organizations manage social media use through governance mechanisms. They found that organizations often rely on policies to guide employee behaviour, aiming to align social media use with organizational goals and values. Their research emphasizes the importance of clear communication and consistent enforcement of policies to ensure effective governance. Treem and Leonardi (2012) further developed the concept of affordances in organizational contexts, suggesting that organizations' responses to social media are shaped by their understanding of these affordances. They proposed that policies should be designed to align with the specific affordances of each platform, thereby enhancing organizational communication and collaboration.

Evolution of Social Media Governance; Vaast and Kaganer (2014) conducted a content analysis of organizational social media policies, revealing that organizations' approaches to governance have evolved over time. Initially, policies focused primarily on risk management, but there has been a shift towards recognizing the value-generating potential of social media. This evolution reflects a broader understanding of social media as a tool for innovation and engagement, rather than merely a source of risk.

From the literature, it is clear that social media has changed how organizations communicate. Different platforms, like Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram, have unique features or affordances; that influence how organizations make rules for online behaviour. Studies by Leonardi, Treem, and Majchrzak show that these features affect both risks and opportunities. Organizations create policies not only to prevent mistakes but also to encourage collaboration, knowledge sharing, and positive engagement. At the same time, social media brings ethical challenges, like privacy concerns, misinformation, and misuse. Grigorescu, Baiasu, and Pascoe highlight that policies are important for guiding employees in responsible and ethical communication. Research also shows that social media policies are evolving. Earlier, they focused mainly on controlling risks. Now, organizations try to balance control with freedom, using policies to support both safe and productive use of social media.

What Is Microblogging?

A microblog is a platform where you can post short messages, updates, or replies. Twitter is the best example. You can follow people, read their posts, and share your own thoughts in small messages (earlier 140 characters, now more)¹². People use microblogs like fans; some lead the talk, others follow. Messages are quick and short. But sometimes, people use these platforms to abuse or bully others¹³ (Honeycutt, & Herring, 2009). These negative posts have created big issues, especially on Twitter. To stop this, people report bad behaviour, block users, or even take legal action. Sometimes users even tell the bully's family members! Experts also say we need better public discussions to stop this kind of online culture. Examples of Microblogs: Twitter, Sina Weibo (used in China)¹⁴.

¹² Burgess, J. E., & Green, J. B. (2009). The entrepreneurial vlogger: Participatory culture beyond the professional-amateur divide. In *YouTube Reader* (pp. 89–107).

¹³ Honeycutt, C., & Herring, S. C. (2009). Beyond microblogging: Conversation and collaboration via Twitter. In *System Sciences, 2009. HICSS'09. 42nd Hawaii International Conference on* (pp. 1–10). IEEE.

¹⁴ Topping, A. (2014, January 8). Jane Austen Twitter row: Two plead guilty to abusive threats. *The Guardian*.

Good Points of Microblogs

Microblogs are fast and easy to use. Twitter is great for quick updates. You follow people, and their updates appear in your feed. You can use tools like Hootsuite to manage Twitter more easily on your phone or computer. You can tweet to anyone; your friend, a company, a celebrity, or even the general public¹⁵. Conversations don't follow a straight line; they can happen anytime and in many directions. One special feature of Twitter is retweeting. If you like someone's tweet, you can share it (retweet it) even if you don't know them. For companies and organisations, getting retweeted is great because it helps their message reach many new people¹⁶.

Video Sharing (Like YouTube, Vimeo, Instagram Reels)

Video-sharing platforms allow people to upload, watch, and share videos. YouTube is the most popular and is the second-largest search engine in the world. People use YouTube for entertainment, learning, sharing opinions, and promoting ideas or products. There are funny videos, music videos, tutorials, and even full documentaries¹⁷. It allows people to like, comment, and share videos; making it truly social. Benefits: Videos can be shared widely across the internet. Platforms like YouTube support both amateur and professional content. And viewers can interact, and popular videos often appear in searches. Vimeo and Vine (now discontinued) were more focused on short and creative videos. Instagram also offers short videos (15–60 seconds), mostly for younger users¹⁸. Challenges: Creating original video content takes more time and money. Some apps have limits (Vine: 6 seconds, Instagram: 15 seconds). And if not promoted well, videos can go unnoticed.

Professional Networking (Like LinkedIn)

LinkedIn is a platform made for professionals. People use it to connect with others in their industry, share work updates, and promote their skills or businesses. Benefits: Helps build a strong professional network. Useful for job hunting, company promotion, and sharing industry news¹⁹. And people usually behave more respectfully on LinkedIn compared to other platforms. Challenges: Fewer people use LinkedIn compared to Facebook or YouTube. Because it's a formal space, many users are careful about what they share.

Photo Sharing (Like Instagram, Pinterest, Flickr)

Photo-sharing platforms focus on visual content. People upload pictures, reels, and short videos. These platforms are especially popular among young users. Instagram is one of the most used platforms for sharing photos and reels. Pinterest works like a digital pinboard where users save ideas, styles, recipes, or products. Benefits: Great for brands to show their products through images²⁰. Helps users explore trends using hashtags (like Twitter). Pinterest is good for discovering and collecting visual ideas; Instagram is great for sharing personal or brand images. Challenges: You need a regular supply of high-quality images to stay active. These platforms don't get as much engagement as Facebook. They are not deeply connected to business websites or services.

¹⁵ Crawford, K., & Gillespie, T. (2014). What is a flag for? Social-media reporting tools and the vocabulary of complaint. *New Media & Society*, 1–19.

¹⁶ Sheila. (2008, August 4). CNN's new rules for personal blogging. *Gawker*.

¹⁷ Associated Press. (2012). *Social-media guidelines for AP employees*.

¹⁸ Goggin, G. (2006). *Cell phone culture: Mobile technology in everyday life*. Routledge.

¹⁹ LinkedIn. (2014). *About us*.

²⁰ Crawford, K., & Gillespie, T. (2014). What is a flag for? Social-media reporting tools and the vocabulary of complaint. *New Media & Society*, 1–19.

METHODOLOGY

This study is based entirely on secondary data and uses a qualitative approach to understand how social-media policies are designed and how platform features influence them. Instead of collecting new data from people, the focus is on analysing existing documents and scholarly materials to interpret meanings, practices, and organizational strategies behind social-media governance. Social-media is fast and unpredictable, so organizations create policies not just to prevent mistakes but also to protect their reputation and encourage responsible communication. Studying these policies through secondary sources allows us to see patterns and strategies without direct intervention. The data sources include three main types. First, policy documents and social-media guidelines from different organizations corporates, NGOs, and public institutions; were collected to examine how they instruct employees about online behaviour. Second, platform documentation from Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, and YouTube was analysed to understand the technical features, or *affordances*, each platform offers and how these features affect communication practices. Third, academic literature on digital ethics, online governance, and organizational communication was reviewed to frame the analysis within existing research and highlight key concerns such as reputation management, ethical expression, and risk mitigation. The analysis followed a thematic and affordance-based approach. Policies were read carefully to identify common themes, while each platform's affordances were mapped to show how technical possibilities shape organizational rules. For example, Twitter's fast and short-message nature, Instagram's visual focus, and Facebook's public and private sharing options influence how organizations structure their guidance. This methodology, grounded in secondary data, connects organizational strategies with technological features, showing how policies respond to the challenges and opportunities of social media. While the findings are interpretive rather than generalizable, they offer valuable insights into how organizations navigate the complex, ever-changing digital landscape responsibly.

Final Thoughts

Each Social-media platform has its own style, strengths, and limits. Some are better for sharing ideas quickly (like Twitter), others for building relationships (like Facebook), promoting businesses (like LinkedIn), or creating visual content (like Instagram and YouTube). But one thing is common: Social-media needs attention, care, and responsibility²¹. A well-managed account can build trust and community. A poorly handled one can create confusion or controversy. So, whether you're a student, businessperson, artist, or organization; think before you post, and always ask: "Am I adding something useful or just making noise?" Social-media is not just about Facebook or Twitter anymore²². Today, there are many types of platforms that people use to connect, share ideas, and express themselves. Each platform works in a different way and has its own culture. Some websites like Reddit or StumbleUpon are used for sharing news, entertainment, or useful content. These sites rely on users to vote or recommend posts. If people like your content, it can spread fast and become very popular. But these platforms don't like obvious marketing or advertisements. So, if brands try to promote themselves too directly, people may push back²³.

Mobile apps like Google Maps, Skype, or TripAdvisor are also part of the Social-media world. These apps help people get information, connect with others, and even share their experiences. Apps are very useful

²¹ Solis, B. (2012). *The end of business as usual*. Wiley.

²² Boyd, d., & Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1).

²³ Crawford, K. (2009). Following you: Disciplines of listening in Social-media. *Continuum: Journal of Media & Cultural Studies*, 23(4), 525–535.

because they are fast, personal, and made for phones. Many companies use apps for marketing or giving updates²⁴. But apps also change how people behave online, and we need to think about who controls the content and how free users really are. At the heart of all this are expressive and participatory cultures; spaces where people come together to talk, share, and create meaning. Every Social-media platform has its own way of talking, its own rules, and its own sense of what is “okay.” If someone tries to push content that doesn’t fit the platform’s tone or values, people might reject it. This is a big challenge for public relations teams and marketers. In traditional media (like newspapers), PR was more accepted. But on Social-media, users are in control. Now, ordinary people have power; they are shaping the conversations, raising important issues, and asking for fairness and equality. Social-media is not just for fun anymore. It’s a place where people challenge powerful voices and express their own stories. For businesses and communicators, this means they must be more careful. They need to understand the culture of each platform, speak honestly, and respect people’s voices. Without this understanding, any message they try to send might get ignored; or even attacked²⁵.

Social-media Policies

Social-media policies are rules made by companies to guide how their employees should behave online. These rules help control how the company looks to the public and manage the risks of using Social-media²⁶. Since Social-media can spread information quickly, companies use these policies to protect their image and ensure that their workers act responsibly. These policies also help companies handle who says what online, set clear boundaries between personal and professional posts, and manage the way their brand is seen²⁷. By having these rules, companies try to maintain honesty, protect the public interest, and avoid problems. One good example is a toolkit created by SocialMedia.org. This group helps big companies by offering a set of checklists. These checklists include: Be honest about who you are and what you say online. Watch Social-media carefully and fix any wrong information. And make clear rules and train employees on how to use Social-media. The toolkit also gives tips on being open, respectful, and legal in all online activities²⁸. It even allows some flexibility for creative work but says lying is never okay. The goal is to build a culture of truth and openness online. This kind of guide helps companies stay safe and respected in the fast-moving world of Social-media²⁹.

Code of Ethics or Corporate Reputation

Many media companies start their Social-media rules by talking about their code of ethics and values. These ethics guide how journalists should behave, especially online. For example, the Associated Press (AP) clearly says that its Social-media policy is based on its own news values and professional standards. Their main goal is to protect the company’s image and make sure its workers also protect their own public image while staying neutral. AP does not allow its workers to share personal views on controversial topics. The *Los Angeles Times* has similar ideas. It says that even if tools change, ethical standards stay the same³⁰. The focus is on being a trusted and fair news source. Reuters, another global news agency, is a bit different. It believes in strong, competitive journalism; even using computers and online tools to report. But it warns against any illegal actions. They say that online journalism should still follow traditional rules

²⁴ Goggin, G. (2006). *Cell phone culture: Mobile technology in everyday life*. Routledge.

²⁵ Goggin, G. (2011). Ubiquitous apps: Politics of openness in global mobile cultures. *Digital Creativity*, 22(3), 148–159.

²⁶ Social-media.org. (n.d.). *Disclosure best practices toolkit*.

²⁷ Bercovici, J. (2012, October 12). “Don’t be an idiot”: The only Social-media policy that matters. *Forbes*.

²⁸ True, E. (2014, November 28). The gaming journalist who tells on the internet trolls; to their mothers. *The Guardian*.

²⁹ PRSA. (2011). *Social-media policy*. Public Relations Society of America.

³⁰ Los Angeles Times. (2014, July 16). *Ethics guidelines*.

of fact-checking and fairness. CNN also has rules. It tells its workers not to share their personal opinions online unless they get permission. The aim is to keep CNN's image neutral and professional. Some public relations companies, like Hill and Knowlton Strategies, have detailed Social-media policies. They include things like not criticizing clients, correcting mistakes, and being honest online; Bercovici 2012. Their guidelines focus on how to act professionally and how to handle blogs and conversations on the internet. They believe blogging is important and want employees to try it so they can learn and help clients better.

Navigating Boundaries

When we look at different Social-media policies from companies, two common things stand out. First, most companies have clear rules about keeping personal and professional Social-media use separate. Second, they expect employees to use basic common sense when posting online³¹. Many organizations ask employees to clearly say when they are speaking as part of the company and when they are just sharing their personal views. This helps avoid confusion and protects the company's image. For example, the BBC allows its employees to talk about their work online, but they must also clearly say that any personal opinions they post are their own, not the BBC's. A PR company in New York, AMP3PR, also gives its staff clear advice on things like respecting others, being honest, and not sharing private or confidential information. Their main goal is to protect the company's reputation³². Hill and Knowlton, another PR company, reminds staff that anything they post, even on personal accounts, can affect the company's clients. So, if an employee writes something negative, it could cost them their job. Their advice is simple: if you wouldn't say something to a journalist, don't say it online. They also stress the importance of keeping private information safe and being clear about whether a post is personal or professional³³. The Public Relations Society of America (PRSA) says people can use Social-media personally, but they should still think carefully about what they post, especially about sensitive topics. Their focus is on staying within the law and keeping the organization safe. Australia's ABC (a government broadcaster) also warns staff not to post anything that could harm the ABC's image or share work secrets. A big concern across many policies is politics. Most media companies don't allow their employees to post political opinions, or if they do, they must clearly say it's a personal view, not the company's³⁴. This is to keep a neutral, professional image in public.

Learning from Policy Controversies

Social-media policies can sometimes lead to big controversies. One major example happened in 2014 in Australia. The government changed the rules for public servants, asking them to report coworkers who criticized government policy online; even on personal Social-media. Even if someone posted in their own name, from a private account, they could be punished. The reason given was to maintain "impartiality" at work, but it seemed more about demanding loyalty to the ruling party³⁵. This created confusion. What counts as "political"? How do you separate your personal and work life online? Many said the rule was unfair and silenced free speech. The Public Service Union said it was an attack on workers' voices. This shows how hard it is to make fair Social-media rules; especially when two democratic rights, like freedom of speech and impartial service, clash. Another famous case happened in 2012 with Sky News in the UK. They made a strict rule that journalists couldn't retweet rivals or post about non-work topics. Neal Mann, a

³¹ Times. (2009, November 19). Times updates Social-media guidelines. *Readers' Representative Journal*.

³² Cellan-Jones, R. (2012, February 8). Tweeting the news. *BBC Technology News*.

³³ Hamilton, C. (2011, July 14). Updated Social-media guidance for BBC journalists. *BBC News: The Editors*.

³⁴ ABC. (2013, October 18). *Personal use of Social-media*.

³⁵ Boyd, d. (2012). Participating in the always-on lifestyle. In M. Mandiberg (Ed.), *The Social-media reader* (pp. 71–76). New York University Press.

popular journalist with thousands of Twitter followers, was affected badly by this³⁶. His tweets helped spread news quickly, and people loved his updates. But Sky's policy stopped him, so he left the company. People even started a campaign to support him. He later joined the Wall Street Journal, where he continued tweeting freely. This incident shows how Social-media policies, if too strict, can backfire. Sky News failed to see the power of online "connectivity" and focused only on control. In today's world, people don't just visit news websites; they follow streams on Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube. The real value now lies not just in content, but in connections. In Social-media, connectivity is king³⁷.

CONCLUSION

In today's digital age, Social-media plays a big role in how people talk, share, and stay connected. For organizations, especially those in public relations (PR), it brings new chances; but also, some serious challenges. To deal with these, many organizations create Social-media policies. These policies guide employees on what they should or shouldn't post and how to behave online as part of the organization. But making a good Social-media policy isn't easy. It's not just about rules. It's about understanding how people use Social-media. Today, Social-media is more than a communication tool; it's a public space where people speak freely, raise issues, and create social change. In this space, the old PR way of just promoting a company's image doesn't always work. People want openness, honesty, and real conversations. This is why PR professionals have to adapt. Instead of controlling the message, they must learn to listen and engage with the public in a respectful and meaningful way. Social-media policies can help with this shift. A good policy doesn't just say "don't do this" or "don't say that." It also encourages values like honesty, respect, and good judgment. For example, instead of banning political opinions completely, a thoughtful policy might explain why staying neutral matters and ask employees to think carefully before posting.

Some organizations even use simple advice like "don't do anything stupid" or "don't embarrass the company." These may sound casual, but they're really about using common sense. The idea is: think before you post, because what you say online can reflect on both you and your workplace. However, there's also a risk. Sometimes, these policies are used to silence people or stop honest opinions, especially when employees talk about political or social issues. This raises important questions about freedom of speech and fairness. In the end, the best Social-media policies are balanced. They protect the company, but also respect the employee. They create clear boundaries without killing creativity or expression. Most of all, they help organizations become part of the conversation, not stand apart from it. In a fast-changing digital world, the key is to keep learning, listening, and adjusting with care.



© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

³⁶ Beaujon, A. (2012, April 23). Neal Mann (@fieldproducer) joins Wall Street Journal as Social-media editor. *Poynter*.

³⁷ Boyd, d., Golder, S., & Lotan, G. (2010). Tweet, tweet, retweet: Conversational aspects of retweeting on Twitter. In *System Sciences (HICSS), 2010 43rd Hawaii International Conference on* (pp. 1–10). IEEE.