Published by

British Journal of Contemporary Research

BEXFORD PUBLISHING

Creative Mechanical Engineering Solutions for Extreme Environments: Designing Systems for Space and Harsh Conditions

Review Article | Volume 1 | Issue 6 | 2026 | Article Number: 088

Accepted: 04 November 2026 | Published: 10 November 2026 | ISSN: 2979-8582 (Online)

Crossref : **https://doi.org/10.67693/BJCR-TSZCU7LF**

Aman Malhotra

DPS International, Saket, India

Correspondence: Aman Malhotra, pranika.mahajan@jitinchawla.com

Abstract

"Know your enemy and know yourself, and you can fight a hundred battles without disaster" Sun Tzu, The Art of War. Space presents engineers with a perpetual and demanding challenge: its extreme and erratic environments cause conventional Earth-tested technologies to fail. This paper surveys the extreme conditions to which space hardware is exposed from thermal cycling and radiation to vacuum-induced outgassing and abrasive planetary dust and reviews the engineering solutions developed to mitigate them. These solutions include advanced materials and coatings, fault-prediction systems, and design strategies drawn from materials science, mechanical engineering, electronics, robotics, and habitat design. The paper then links each category of problem to its corresponding category of solution, with the aim of establishing a clear, evidence-based picture of what is required for mechanical systems to function reliably in space.

Keywords: Space Mechanical Engineering, Extreme Environments, Advanced Materials, Thermal Control Systems, Self-Healing Materials, Autonomous Space Robotics

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study

Most modern machines fail under extreme conditions because they were not designed to withstand them. Extreme environments are characterized by physical or chemical conditions that significantly exceed ambient or normal service conditions, thereby accelerating material degradation through wear, corrosion, or their synergy (Jose, S. et al. 2025). Such environments subject machines and the materials from which they are made to increased mechanical, chemical and thermal stresses, decreasing their reliability and longevity. These environments impose thermal cycling, abrasive dust, vacuum exposure, extreme pressure variations, intense vibration, and corrosive chemical reactions on mechanical systems. Examples of relevant extreme environments include deep space, lunar and Martian surfaces, low Earth orbit, and radiation-heavy systems. Space is among the most extreme of these environments, characterized by low to zero gravity, high temperature fluctuations, elevated levels of UV, electromagnetic and particulate radiation, reactive atomic oxygen, and natural micrometeoroids and space debris (Finckenor and Groh, 2015; Aïssa et al., 2019). Space exploration is significantly slowed by the need to develop new technologies that ensure the reliable completion of missions. Every uncertainty must be accounted for, whether for a crewed or uncrewed mission, given both the cost of such projects and the consequences of failure.

The harsh nature of space creates a need for protective strategies. The presence of corrosive agents, dust and regolith has led to the use of coatings and self-healing materials. Severe temperature extremes have led to the development of specialized microcircuits, sensors and actuators capable of functioning reliably across a wide thermal range. The inception of environmental simulation itself was driven by the difficulty of testing machines directly under conditions found in unreachable regions of space. These challenges have driven significant developments across robotics, thermal systems, moving mechanical assemblies, material science, and space habitat design.

1.2. Rationale of the Study

Engineers design, construct and test machines on Earth under Earth conditions. When the conditions and variables under which these machines were designed and tested are changed substantially, their performance predictably degrades — and this is only a fraction of what space imposes on hardware. Extreme-temperature and harsh-environment electronics begin where conventional electronics designed for room-temperature operation end (Khanna, V. 2023). Most circuits contain composite materials such as graphite and epoxy that, in the absence of atmosphere and under drastically low pressures, are subject to outgassing, which degrades the material and can cause the parent circuit to fail. A related vacuum-specific problem is cold welding, in which mechanical parts weld together in the absence of the thin air gap that normally separates them (Nohooji, H. & Voos, H. 2025). Conventional liquid lubricants also fail under radiation exposure and low pressure, requiring a shift to solid lubrication. Together, these examples illustrate how conventional machines and subsystems are highly susceptible to failure in space, motivating substantial design changes.

Improving the reliability of space machinery, and the safety of people working near it, therefore requires targeted design changes. Corrosion, for instance, can be mitigated using self-healing materials and protective coatings. Third-generation coatings currently under development integrate self-healing mechanisms and multi-layered nanostructures to deliver improved corrosion resistance and durability under high-stress aerospace conditions (Jose, S. et al. 2025). Separately, researchers have taken early steps toward developing lightweight, flexible materials for protecting structures and equipment against electromagnetic radiation (Banken, E. 2022) — a design direction that also supports the broader goal of

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

improving system reliability in space. This is the research direction this study pursues: autonomous systems that reduce uncertainty, self-healing and corrosion-resistant materials that protect systems, and machines that function reliably regardless of temperature, pressure and other extreme conditions.

1.3. Aim of the Study

The first aim of this study is to establish the current state of the field — the existing mechanical technologies and systems used to safeguard humans and technology from extreme space conditions. This includes examining the roles of specialized sensors and actuators, coatings, thermal systems, specialized electronics, autonomous control systems, robotics and habitats. Building on this foundation, the study aims to identify problem areas that remain unaddressed or under-addressed. One example is the continuous operation of machinery in settings where repair is not possible; possible solutions include self-healing materials that reduce the need for repair, or robotic systems capable of performing repairs autonomously. These solutions are analyzed for feasibility and effectiveness, with a final evaluation of their likely impact on the safety of future space exploration under extreme conditions.

1.4. Research Question

How can mechanical engineering systems be creatively designed using advanced materials, thermal control, protective coatings, robotics, habitat structures, and fault-detection technologies to function reliably in the extreme environments of space?

2. UNDERSTANDING EXTREME ENVIRONMENTS

2.1. Meaning and Basic Description of Extreme Environments

Machines may not function as intended when exposed to conditions for which they were not designed. Although the definition of "extreme environment" is not fully standardized, such environments are generally characterized by physical or chemical conditions that significantly exceed ambient or normal service conditions (Jose, S. et al. 2025). In such settings, materials are routinely subjected to elevated mechanical, chemical, and thermal stresses that challenge their structural integrity and operational reliability (Jose, S. et al. 2025). These stresses include extreme temperatures, elevated pressures, vibration, exposure to abrasive or corrosive substances, and exposure to nuclear radiation.

Under such conditions, machines designed using conventional methods tend to fail. Engineers must therefore develop new solutions that allow systems to function reliably under the conditions they are expected to encounter, while accounting for uncertainty. This also requires rigorous testing under environmental conditions simulated as accurately as possible.

2.2. Space as an Extreme Environment

Space combines nearly every condition feared by engineers. Deep space, far from direct sunlight, is extremely cold, while regions closer to the Sun can be extremely hot. Space missions encounter vacuum conditions, while atmospheric entry — at Earth or other bodies — exposes hardware to significant pressure and aerodynamic loads. Micrometeoroids, debris, atomic oxygen and abrasive regolith degrade exposed surfaces. Solar, cosmic and even artificial radiation penetrate systems. Phenomena such as thermal cycling and microgravity, which do not occur on Earth, continue to present significant engineering challenges. For these reasons, space is widely regarded as one of the most demanding engineering environments encountered in practice. One recurring problem in space engineering is thermal cycling. As spacecraft, satellites and other systems move through space, they are alternately exposed to direct stellar light and shadow. During thermal cycling, materials expand under high temperatures (in stellar light) and contract at low temperatures (in shadow) (Ince, J. et al. 2023). This repeated expansion and contraction is not benign:

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

thermal cycling compromises mechanical performance, generating cracks in structures and leading to delamination (Ince, J. et al. 2023).

Another source of failure is the vacuum of space, which drives outgassing. The extremely low pressures found in space cause trapped air and moisture to be released from materials such as polymers; these released gases then condense on other surfaces, which can cause optical problems and short circuits. During the first crewed lunar orbital flight, Apollo 8, silicone rubber seals outgassed severely and contaminated the large observation window, requiring the crew to temporarily use a smaller, less contaminated viewing window. On Apollo 14, a sealed motor switch failed in flight because room-temperature-vulcanized (RTV) silicone rubber used in its construction outgassed low-molecular-weight silicone compounds; the outgassed silicone combined with petroleum-based lubricant to form carbon particles in the brush-arc mechanism, increasing brush wear and ultimately causing motor failure (Jiao, Z. et al. 2019). Radiation poses further challenges. Galactic Cosmic Rays (GCRs) are charged particles found in free space that deposit large amounts of energy as they pass through semiconductor materials (LaBel, K. 2004). In addition to degrading semiconductor performance, GCRs can alter current paths — causing permanent damage to electronics — and flip memory bits, causing data corruption and, over time, shortening system lifespan. Because modern spacecraft rely heavily on electronic and autonomous systems, exposure to such radiation can be mission-critical. More broadly, exposure to solar and cosmic radiation causes ionization of materials, contributing to electronics failure; shielding against such radiation is essential to reliable system operation.

Environment	**Key Stressors**	**Primary Mechanical Concern**
Low Earth Orbit	Atomic oxygen, UV radiation, thermal cycling, micrometeoroid/debris impacts	Polymer and coating erosion; surface degradation
Deep Space / Interplanetary	Galactic cosmic rays, solar radiation, extreme cold, vacuum outgassing	Electronics damage, material embrittlement
Lunar Surface	Abrasive electrostatically-charged regolith, vacuum, extreme thermal swings (lunar day/night)	Abrasion of seals/joints, dust ingress, cold welding
Martian Surface	Thin CO2 atmosphere, dust storms, reduced gravity, elevated radiation vs. Earth	Regolith abrasion, thermal control difficulty, reduced protection from radiation

Table 2: Summary of dominant environmental stressors and their primary mechanical consequences by region.

2.3. Lunar and Martian Surface Conditions

The Moon lacks a substantial atmosphere and a strong magnetic field, exposing both systems and astronauts to space vacuum, micrometeoroid and orbital debris (MMOD), radiation, and lunar dust (Bowling, L. et al. 2021). Mars' thin, primarily carbon-dioxide atmosphere similarly provides minimal protection from cosmic and solar radiation, and the planet is further characterized by extreme temperature fluctuations, reduced atmospheric pressure, reduced gravity, and abrasive regolith (Neukart, F. 2024).

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Exposure to these conditions on both the Moon and Mars threatens human health and system reliability. Prolonged exposure to reduced gravity can cause muscle atrophy, bone density loss, and changes to cardiovascular and other bodily systems. The absence of a global magnetosphere further exposes the surface to elevated radiation levels, posing risks including increased cancer risk, radiation sickness, and potential genetic damage (Zeitlin, C. et al. 2013). These conditions require robust life-support systems capable of regulating temperature and pressure and managing oxygen levels (Neukart, F. 2024). A study on lunar and Martian regolith simulants and plant growth indicates that, while the chemistries of lunar and Martian dust vary, their effects on hardware and health are broadly similar (Duri, L. et al. 2022); for the purposes of this study, the two regoliths are treated as a single category, since the same mitigation strategies broadly apply to both. Lunar regolith consists predominantly of very fine particles: over 95% is finer than 1 mm, roughly 50% is smaller than 60 μm, and 10–20% is smaller than 20 μm. Approximately 10% of the finest particulate component (below 10 μm) falls within the respirable range and is the fraction most likely to cause respiratory disease if inhaled (Cain, J. 2010). A study of the pulmonary effects of these regoliths found lunar and Martian soil simulants to be more hazardous to lung tissue than typical nuisance dusts (Lam, C. et al. 2008).

Lunar and Martian regolith also threaten machinery directly. Constant bombardment by solar wind plasma, cosmic ray spallation, solar UV, and X-ray radiation leaves the dust electrostatically charged. Characteristics of the lunar environment and regolith promote a substantial build-up and retention of this charge, causing particles to adhere readily to surfaces and, in some cases, to float above the surface, giving them easier access to mission hardware (Budzyń, D. et al. 2023). Because the charge density resulting from triboelectric charging is inversely proportional to particle size (Cruise, R. et al. 2022), the extremely small size of lunar and Martian regolith particles means the dust adheres readily to machine surfaces, and the resulting high electrostatic charge makes it difficult to remove. This can damage both internal and external systems, and the associated charge deposition can damage exposed electronics. Lunar dust is also highly abrasive (Cain, J. 2010), causing damage to external surfaces such as spacecraft, habitats, rovers and spacesuits. Together, these characteristics indicate why the surfaces and environments of Mars and the Moon require dedicated design solutions distinct from those developed for orbital or deep-space hardware.

2.4. Need to Move Away from Normal Engineering Practices

Conventional materials, electronics, systems, and mechanical components are highly susceptible to failure when exposed to the extreme space environment, owing to the range of conditions described above. The same conditions also threaten human life, and these risks must be addressed concurrently. Engineers must therefore use different materials, design new protective technologies, and find ways to reduce risk. Because of the high cost of space missions and the safety requirements of crewed missions, failure is rarely an acceptable outcome, which places a correspondingly high demand on testing: conditions must be simulated as accurately as possible to establish operational reliability before launch. Designing systems for space accordingly requires a fundamentally different engineering approach than terrestrial design.

3. MATERIALS ENGINEERING FOR EXTREME CONDITIONS

3.1. Material Selection for Extreme Environments

The reliability and longevity of engineering systems used in space depend heavily on the materials from which they are built, making material selection one of the most important stages of the design process. To withstand intense radiation, severe temperature fluctuations, vacuum conditions, abrasive dust and corrosive agents, materials used in space must

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

exhibit several desirable properties, including high strength, thermal stability, resistance to wear and corrosion, low density, radiation tolerance, and, where possible, self-healing capability. In space, materials experience temperature fluctuations, radiation exposure, vacuum, abrasive dust and atomic oxygen. External spacecraft surfaces may experience temperatures ranging from −150 °C to +150 °C depending on orbit and solar exposure. Ultraviolet radiation, charged particles, and atomic oxygen can significantly alter material properties (Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. 1999), leading to polymer embrittlement, surface erosion, and degradation of mechanical properties. Repeated thermal cycling generates internal stresses that ultimately lead to structural degradation (Ince, J. et al. 2023) through cracking, fatigue and delamination. Because materials cannot easily be repaired once deployed, ensuring their reliability over long mission durations is critical, making material selection one of the most consequential stages of spacecraft design.

Selecting materials for space use is a demanding balancing process. No single material, or even class of materials, possesses every desirable property simultaneously. Selection therefore involves balancing strength, weight, thermal stability, manufacturability, cost, durability and environmental resistance, together with the specific conditions a given component will face. Stronger materials, for example, are often heavier, while lighter materials tend to be more susceptible to damage; heat-resistant materials may be costly to produce. Because mass is typically a stronger constraint than cost, materials with a high strength-to-weight ratio are generally prioritized (Banken, E. et al. 2022). Composite materials are widely used for this reason: beyond combining multiple desirable properties, they can reduce structural mass by approximately 20–40% compared to conventional metallic structures (Banken, E. et al. 2022). Aluminium alloys are used for their low density and corrosion resistance; titanium alloys for their high specific strength and resistance to elevated temperatures; and carbon-fibre-reinforced polymers for their high strength at significantly lower mass than metals or metallic alloys. No single material is universally suitable for all parts of a space mission — each must be selected and tested individually for the specific conditions and role it will fulfil.

3.2. Self-Healing Materials for Space Applications

Repair of components and mechanisms remains a significant challenge in space, since many parts are effectively irreplaceable and carrying spares is constrained by mass limits. Nature provides numerous examples of autonomic healing capability that have informed the design of engineering materials (Aïssa, B. et al. 2012). Self-healing materials can autonomously repair damage and restore functionality after mechanical failure (Aïssa, B. et al. 2012), reducing — though not eliminating — the need for manual repair and thereby lowering failure risk and improving mission safety. These materials remain effective only up to a certain damage threshold, but represent a valuable additional layer of reliability alongside other mitigation strategies. Self-healing materials can automatically repair cracks, scratches and similar damage, mitigating failure risk following micrometeoroid impacts, thermal cycling, radiation exposure, mechanical fatigue and repeated loading.

Two general approaches are used: extrinsic and intrinsic self-healing. Extrinsic self-healing relies on healing agents stored in microcapsules or hollow fibres that are released when a crack forms, filling and sealing the damage. Intrinsic self-healing instead relies on materials containing reversible chemical bonds,

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

requiring no external healing agent and allowing the process to repeat indefinitely. Most modern space missions use both approaches together.

The incorporation of self-healing capability into structural materials is particularly attractive for aerospace applications (Banken, E. et al. 2022), since spacecraft and habitats may operate for years without human intervention or maintenance, and repair missions are frequently expensive or impossible. Many missions ultimately reach a stage at which they can no longer be maintained and are abandoned — for example, NASA's Spirit Mars rover in 2011 and NASA's Skylab space station in 1974; Voyager 1 and Voyager 2 are similarly expected to eventually stop functioning due to permanent, irreversible damage. Self-healing materials could mitigate some of this damage, improving mission reliability, operational lifespan, and human safety. Research indicates that some polymer-based self-healing systems can recover 90–100% of their original mechanical properties after damage (Aïssa, B. et al. 2012), an ability that is significant given that even small, unrepaired cracks can propagate into more extensive, mission-threatening failure.

3.3 Fire and Heat-Resistant Materials

Heat represents one of the most significant challenges facing space machinery. In addition to the repeated expansion and contraction caused by thermal cycling, systems are periodically exposed to temperatures that conventional engineering materials cannot withstand. During rocket launches, engines produce combustion temperatures exceeding 3000 °C, and during atmospheric re-entry the outer surface of a spacecraft may exceed 1600 °C (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). At such temperatures, ordinary materials may soften, deform, lose their mechanical properties, or melt entirely — and because the failure of a single structural component can jeopardize an entire mission, engineers must select materials specifically designed to withstand these conditions without compromising structural integrity.

As with material selection more broadly, no single material suits every high-temperature application; different components experience different temperatures and loads, and material choice reflects this variation. Nickel-based superalloys are commonly used in rocket engines for their retained strength at extreme temperature. Titanium alloys combine a high strength-to-weight ratio with good heat resistance. Ceramic Matrix Composites (CMCs) can withstand temperatures well beyond most metallic alloys while remaining relatively lightweight, and carbon-carbon composites are used where temperatures are especially extreme, particularly in spacecraft heat shields. Selecting heat-resistant materials, like material selection generally, requires balancing temperature resistance against structural strength, weight, manufacturability and cost.

The most prominent application of these materials is in Thermal Protection Systems (TPS). During atmospheric entry, a spacecraft travelling at very high speed compresses the air ahead of it, generating enormous heat that would otherwise destroy the vehicle. TPS designs prevent this heat from reaching the spacecraft itself, either through ablative materials that gradually wear away while carrying heat from the vehicle, or through reusable ceramic tiles or reinforced carbon-carbon components that withstand repeated exposure to high temperature (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Heat-resistant materials are also used inside spacecraft and habitats, where the risk of fire is especially serious given that evacuation is frequently impossible during a mission; preventing the spread of fire is therefore as important as extinguishing it. As missions grow longer and extend further into space, the need for stronger, lighter and more heat-resistant materials will continue to grow.

3.4 Smart Composite Materials

Selecting the right material for a given application addresses only part of the challenge, since damage from radiation, thermal cycling, repeated loading and micrometeoroid impacts can still occur. Self-healing

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

materials offer one mitigation, but only up to a limited threshold, motivating the development of smart composite materials that can do more than passively withstand harsh conditions. Unlike conventional composites, smart composites can detect changes in their surroundings — such as stress, strain, vibration or temperature — and in some cases respond to these changes automatically (Banken, E. et al. 2022), allowing the material to function simultaneously as structural component and monitoring system.

Some smart composites incorporate fibre-optic sensors, piezoelectric materials, or shape-memory alloys that continuously monitor structural health during operation (Giurgiutiu, V. 2008). Where abnormal strain, excessive vibration, or incipient cracking begins to form, these systems can detect the problem well before it develops into significant structural failure. Shape-memory alloys can also return to their original shape after deformation when exposed to a suitable temperature (Otsuka, K. & Wayman, C. M. 1998), giving them a degree of recoverability, though within a more limited range than self-healing materials. Combined with their sensing capability, this makes shape-memory alloys particularly useful for deployable mechanisms such as antennas and solar arrays that must unfold correctly after launch. Like self-healing materials, smart composites reduce the need for inspection and physical maintenance while improving mission reliability, and are particularly valuable in applications where human access is difficult or impossible. As spacecraft move toward greater autonomy, such materials contribute not only by resisting degradation but by monitoring structural health more consistently than periodic human inspection would allow, while remaining passive monitoring and response systems rather than autonomous decision-makers.

3.5 Computational Modelling of Advanced Materials

Selecting suitable materials for space use is not sufficient on its own; engineers must also confirm that a material will perform as expected once exposed to the harsh conditions of space. Traditionally, this required manufacturing prototypes and repeatedly testing them under simulated space conditions — a process that is both expensive and time-consuming, and some space conditions are difficult to recreate accurately on Earth. While physical testing remains necessary at later design stages, digital modelling can substantially reduce cost and time earlier in the process. Rather than manufacturing multiple physical prototypes, digital models of materials can be exposed to simulated thermal cycling, radiation, vibration, mechanical loading and micrometeoroid impacts, allowing engineers to study material behaviour before manufacturing begins. This allows weaknesses to be identified before final physical testing, reducing production costs and time. Such simulations can predict how materials degrade, where stresses concentrate, how cracks propagate, and how long a material is likely to survive before failure (Banken, E. et al. 2022).

Recent advances have made computational modelling and simulation considerably more accurate, giving engineers greater confidence in its use during the design phase. Computational modelling also clarifies not only which material performs best for a given application, but why it outperforms the alternatives. Simulation cannot, however, fully substitute for physical testing: after a component's design is finalized, it is still validated through physical testing to confirm functionality over the full duration of the mission. Computational modelling is best understood as a way to accelerate design and reduce redundant cost in a field that already carries substantial cost overall.

4. COATINGS, WEAR RESISTANCE, AND CORROSION PROTECTION

4.1 Importance of Wear and Corrosion Resistance

Even after the most suitable material has been selected for a given application, materials in space do not remain in the condition in which they started. Degradation is a persistent engineering problem even on Earth, but it is significantly accelerated under the extreme conditions of space. This degradation is driven

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

mainly by wear and corrosion — two of the largest contributors to mechanical failure in extreme environments. Wear gradually removes material from a surface through repeated contact and friction, while corrosion weakens a surface through repeated chemical reaction with its surroundings. Both processes are individually damaging, and considerably more destructive when they occur together: this wear-corrosion synergy causes degradation far greater than either mechanism acting alone (Jose, S. et al. 2025). As wear removes protective surface layers, the underlying fresh material becomes more susceptible to corrosion; corrosion, in turn, erodes the surface further, increasing friction and accelerating wear. Left unchecked, this feedback loop poses a serious threat to system reliability even when it affects only a small number of critical components.

In Low Earth Orbit, atomic oxygen continuously erodes vulnerable polymers, paints and protective films, while ultraviolet radiation and repeated thermal cycling further degrade the properties of exposed materials (Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. 1999). On lunar and Martian surfaces, abrasive and electrostatically charged regolith scratches exposed surfaces, damages joints, and infiltrates moving components (Cain, J. 2010; Budzyń, D. et al. 2023). Conventional liquid lubricants may also evaporate under vacuum, increasing direct contact between moving parts and thereby increasing wear (Roberts, E. W. 1990). Components such as bearings, gears, robotic joints, turbine blades and deployment mechanisms depend heavily on smooth, low-friction surfaces, and even small amounts of surface degradation can substantially increase friction, reducing efficiency or halting mechanical function entirely. Because repairing these systems after launch is often impossible, prevention is generally a more effective strategy than remediation, motivating the development of protective coatings that limit abrasion and corrosion and extend component lifespan.

4.2 Types of Extreme Environments and Coating Challenges

Developing an effective protective coating requires more than selecting the toughest or most corrosion-resistant material available, since space conditions vary considerably by environment. In low Earth orbit, machinery is exposed to atomic oxygen, which aggressively erodes polymers and many exposed surfaces (Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. 1999). Components on the Moon or Mars instead face highly abrasive, electrostatically charged regolith, making wear resistance the dominant consideration (Cain, J. 2010; Budzyń, D. et al. 2023). In rocket engines and turbine systems, coatings must remain structurally intact at temperatures well above 1000 °C (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). These differing conditions — including extreme pressure variation — require different coating formulations, and identifying the specific conditions a component will face is a necessary first step in selecting an appropriate coating.

Coating design introduces its own set of challenges beyond protecting the underlying material. A coating must also remain adhered to that material for the duration of the mission: cracking, peeling or separation exposes the underlying material to immediate and rapid damage. Modern coating systems are therefore designed for high adhesion strength, thermal stability, wear resistance and chemical resistance simultaneously (Jose, S. et al. 2025). Even minor coating failure can propagate into broader degradation across materials and systems, making coating design a high-stakes element of overall mission reliability.

Coating Type	Primary Function	Typical Application
Ceramic / Thermal Barrier	High-temperature insulation, wear resistance	Turbine blades, rocket engines, re-entry surfaces
Metallic (Al, Cr, Ni)	Oxidation and chemical-attack protection	Structural and load-bearing components

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Polymer	Moisture and chemical resistance	Spacecraft exterior surfaces (with added UV/AO protection)
Multilayer / Hybrid	Combined heat, corrosion and wear resistance	Critical aerospace components requiring simultaneous protection

Table 1: Representative coating categories used in aerospace applications and their primary protective function.

4.3 Coating Materials for Extreme Environments

Because every environment presents a distinct set of demands, coating materials are selected according to the specific application. Ceramic coatings are among the most widely used, owing to their hardness, wear resistance, and ability to withstand extremely high temperatures without damage, making them well suited to turbine blades, rocket engines and thermally stressed spacecraft components. Thermal barrier coatings, commonly made from ceramics such as yttria-stabilized zirconia, allow metallic components to operate at temperatures that would otherwise cause failure, owing to their function as thermal insulators (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Metallic coatings such as aluminium, chromium and nickel protect components from oxidation and chemical attack while improving surface durability. Metallic and polymer coatings are generally favoured where corrosion protection is the primary concern; polymer coatings applied to spacecraft surfaces mitigate environmental degradation and improve resistance to moisture and certain chemicals, though they still require protection from ultraviolet radiation and atomic oxygen in space (Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. 1999). Modern aerospace systems frequently combine several coating materials into multilayer protective systems that resist heat, corrosion and wear simultaneously, significantly improving the lifespan and reliability of critical components (Jose, S. et al. 2025).

4.4 Coating Techniques and Processes

Selecting the appropriate coating material addresses only part of the problem; how the coating is applied is equally important, since application method directly affects thickness, adhesion, durability and overall performance. This is analogous to surface preparation in industrial coating applications more broadly: a high-quality coating material can still fail prematurely if applied incorrectly, cracking, peeling or separating under repeated loading or thermal cycling and exposing the underlying material to rapid wear and corrosion regardless of the material's intrinsic quality. Coating processes are therefore selected based on both the coating material and the operating conditions and geometry of the component in question.

Several coating techniques see regular use in aerospace engineering. Thermal spraying deposits molten or semi-molten particles onto a surface, producing thick, wear-resistant coatings suited to turbine blades and other high-temperature components. Physical Vapour Deposition (PVD) and Chemical Vapour Deposition (CVD) produce thin, uniform coatings with excellent adhesion, widely used on precision components and cutting tools. Electroplating improves corrosion resistance and surface hardness, while laser surface treatment modifies only the outer layer of a material, improving wear resistance without affecting the bulk material properties. As with coating materials, no single coating process is universally optimal: Jose, S. et al. (2025) note that selecting the appropriate coating process is as important as selecting the coating material itself in determining component reliability and longevity in extreme environments.

4.5 Aerospace and Aviation Applications

Aerospace components operate under some of the most demanding conditions any engineering system will encounter, making surface protection a design requirement rather than an optional enhancement. Turbine

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

blades inside jet and rocket engines face extremely high temperatures and oxidizing gases, motivating the use of thermal barrier coatings that reduce heat transfer and extend component life (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Spacecraft surfaces are similarly coated to protect against atomic oxygen, ultraviolet radiation, and erosion from the broader space environment (Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. 1999); without such coatings, exposed materials would gradually lose their mechanical and protective properties. Because many components are expected to operate continuously for years without maintenance, long-term reliability is a central design requirement. Protective coatings are also applied to bearings, gears, robotic joints and deployment mechanisms to mitigate friction, wear and corrosion, improving both performance and lifespan while reducing failure risk in environments where repair is frequently impossible (Jose, S. et al. 2025). A coating's thin surface layer, in many cases, ultimately determines whether a component survives an entire mission or fails prematurely.

5. THERMAL CONTROL AND TEMPERATURE MANAGEMENT

5.1 Temperature Effects on Mechanical and Electronic Systems

Unlike Earth, where the atmosphere helps buffer temperature extremes, spacecraft are directly exposed to solar radiation and the cold vacuum of space, producing continuous thermal cycling between direct solar exposure and extreme cold. This creates large temperature swings affecting nearly every part of a mechanical system. Metals expand when heated and contract when cooled; over repeated cycles, this expansion and contraction generates internal stresses that can culminate in fatigue, cracking, and delamination (Ince, J. et al. 2023). Continuous cycling at these rates accelerates material wear and degradation. At extremely low temperatures, many materials become brittle and lose toughness; prolonged high heat instead reduces material strength and accelerates degradation.

Structural materials are not the only systems affected. Electronics, batteries, sensors, seals and lubricants must all remain within a limited temperature range to function correctly. Excessive heat can cause electronic components to overheat or produce inaccurate signals, while excessive cold reduces battery performance and can cause lubricants to stop functioning correctly. Conventional lubricants can evaporate under vacuum or degrade after repeated thermal cycling, increasing friction between moving parts (Roberts, E. W. 1990). Because nearly every spacecraft system depends on remaining within a stable temperature range, engineers must design dedicated systems to control heat throughout a mission — designing materials that can merely survive temperature swings is not, on its own, sufficient.

5.2 Spacecraft Thermal Requirements

Keeping a spacecraft within its operating temperature limits requires more than preventing overheating. Because there is no atmosphere in space to transfer heat through convection, heat can enter or leave a spacecraft only through radiation, meaning even small changes in the surrounding environment can noticeably shift component temperatures (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Spacecraft are continuously exposed to direct solar radiation, reflected sunlight from planets and moons (albedo radiation), and infrared radiation from planetary bodies, all of which vary as the spacecraft moves through different orbits and mission phases. Different systems have different thermal requirements: scientific instruments, batteries and onboard computers generally require relatively stable temperatures for accuracy and reliability, while propulsion systems and external structures can tolerate larger swings. Strict thermal requirements are therefore established during the design stage to keep every component within its safe operating range for the duration of the mission; failing to do so reduces system performance, shortens component lifespan, or, in the worst case, causes mission failure (Gilmore, D. G. 2002).

5.3 Thermal Control System Components

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Because spacecraft face constantly shifting thermal conditions, they require dedicated thermal control systems to remain within safe temperature ranges. These systems must both remove excess heat and prevent components from becoming too cold, using devices that remove, redistribute, or retain heat as needed. Depending on the mission, this toolkit may include radiators, heaters, heat pipes, thermal sensors, insulation, and fluid circulation loops (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Thermal control approaches are generally divided into passive and active categories. Passive systems operate continuously without drawing electrical power, relying on carefully selected materials and surface properties to regulate temperature. Active systems require electrical power and actively move or generate heat when passive methods alone are insufficient. Most modern spacecraft employ both approaches together, balancing reliable temperature control against limited available power. The following two sections examine each in turn.

5.4 Passive Thermal Control Materials and Devices

Not every spacecraft requires complex pumps or active cooling systems to regulate temperature; in many cases, engineers can control heat simply by selecting appropriate materials and surface finishes. This is the basis of passive thermal control, which operates continuously without electrical power. Given the limited power budgets of most spacecraft, passive systems are typically the first line of defence against extreme temperatures (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Multi-Layer Insulation (MLI) is among the most common examples, consisting of several thin reflective layers that limit heat transfer through radiation. Spacecraft also use thermal blankets, specialized surface coatings, and highly reflective finishes to absorb or reject solar energy as required. Phase-change materials can also be incorporated to temporarily absorb excess heat and release it later as temperatures fall. Two properties describe how well these materials perform: solar absorptivity (α), which measures how much solar energy a surface absorbs, and infrared emissivity (ε), which measures how efficiently it radiates heat (Ince, J. et al. 2023). Because passive systems require no moving parts or continuous power, they offer a reliable approach to thermal management over long-duration missions.

5.5 Active Temperature Control Systems

Passive control alone is not always sufficient. Spacecraft carrying powerful electronics, scientific instruments, or human crews often generate more heat than passive systems can dissipate, requiring active thermal control using powered equipment that continuously regulates component temperatures. Unlike passive methods, active systems can respond to changing conditions in real time, making them better suited to missions with widely varying thermal loads (Gilmore, D. G. 2002). Common active systems include electrical heaters, mechanically pumped fluid loops, heat exchangers and controlled radiators. Pumps circulate coolant around the spacecraft, carrying heat away from sensitive components before radiators reject it into space, while electrical heaters perform the reverse function, preventing batteries, fuel lines and scientific instruments from freezing during extended periods without sunlight. Modern spacecraft often pair sensors with automated control systems that continuously track temperatures and adjust heating or cooling as needed. These systems add mass, power draw, and mechanical complexity, but remain necessary for keeping crewed spacecraft and other high-performance missions within safe operating temperatures.

5.6 Degradation of Thermophysical Properties

Maintaining the correct temperature is only part of the challenge, since the materials responsible for thermal control themselves degrade over the course of a mission. Long-term exposure to ultraviolet radiation, charged particles, atomic oxygen and repeated thermal cycling gradually changes the physical properties of coatings, insulation and thermal control surfaces (Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. 1999). As these properties shift, a spacecraft's ability to absorb or reject heat changes correspondingly, making

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

thermal control progressively more difficult as a mission proceeds. Because thermal control systems are designed around specific material properties, a surface that becomes more absorbent or less emissive than expected can cause component temperatures to drift outside their intended range. This is why thermal control materials are tested under simulated space conditions before launch, to predict how their properties will change over the course of a mission. According to Finckenor, M. & Dooling, D. (1999), atomic oxygen erosion and ultraviolet exposure are among the primary drivers of this long-term degradation, and understanding these effects allows engineers to design thermal protection systems that remain reliable across multi-year missions.

6. ELECTRONICS, SENSORS, ACTUATORS, AND MOVING MECHANICAL ASSEMBLIES

6.1 Harsh Environment Effects on Electronics

Modern spacecraft depend heavily on electronics for navigation, communication, attitude control, power management, scientific instrumentation, and autonomous decision-making. Conventional electronics, however, are built for Earth's relatively stable conditions, whereas in space they are exposed to radiation, vacuum, severe temperature swings, launch vibration, and dust contamination. These conditions gradually degrade electronic components, reduce their accuracy, or cause outright failure, and because nearly every spacecraft subsystem depends on electronics, even a single circuit failure can compromise an entire mission. Radiation is among the most significant threats: charged particles and cosmic radiation can deposit substantial energy into semiconductor materials, causing temporary malfunctions, corrupted memory, and sometimes permanent physical damage (LaBel, K. 2004). Extreme temperatures compound this problem by affecting electrical resistance, signal stability, and overall component reliability, while vacuum conditions can cause outgassing in the polymers used in electronic assemblies, contaminating sensitive optical equipment and reducing long-term reliability (Jiao, Z. et al. 2019). These factors motivate the development of purpose-built electronic systems for space use, rather than adapting commercial terrestrial electronics.

6.2 Requirements for Rocket and Space Electronics

Space electronics must continue operating for years despite radiation, vibration, vacuum and repeated thermal cycling. Because repairing electronics after launch is rarely possible, reliability is the foremost design priority: every component is selected, tested, and validated before launch to minimize failure risk (Khanna, V. 2023). Power availability on spacecraft is also limited, so components must draw minimal electricity while remaining lightweight and compact enough to keep launch mass down, without sacrificing the computing capability needed for navigation, communication and autonomous control. Radiation tolerance is a further requirement, particularly for long-duration missions beyond Earth's protective magnetic field (LaBel, K. 2004). To further improve reliability, engineers frequently incorporate redundancy, allowing backup circuits to take over if a primary circuit fails. Together, these requirements allow modern spacecraft to carry out increasingly complex missions while maintaining operational reliability.

6.3 Extreme Environment Actuators

Actuators convert electrical, hydraulic or pneumatic energy into mechanical motion, enabling functions such as deploying solar panels, steering a rover, opening valves, and positioning a robotic arm. This makes actuators critical components across manipulators, landing gear, deployment mechanisms, reaction control systems and scientific instruments; because many of these operations occur only once, actuator failure can jeopardize an entire mission. Actuators in space must withstand extreme temperatures, launch vibration, radiation exposure, and abrasive lunar or Martian dust, conditions under which conventional actuators —

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

which typically rely on lubricants and materials that perform poorly in such environments — suffer reduced efficiency and reliability. NASA's Extreme Environment Electronics programme focuses on developing actuators that can operate under these conditions while maintaining precise control and long operational lifespans (Fleischman, G. L. et al. 2011).

It is worth distinguishing between an actuator being "capable of operating" under given conditions and operating at full performance. Actuators rated for extreme environments often exhibit reduced torque, slower response, or narrower duty cycles at their operational limits compared to terrestrial equivalents — a distinction that matters when mission margins are already tight. As space missions become increasingly autonomous, reliable actuators become correspondingly more central to mission success and system safety.

6.4 Low-Temperature Distributed Electronics

Extreme cold is among the most significant challenges facing future lunar and planetary missions. Temperatures during the lunar night can drop below −170 °C, and deep-space probes and permanently shadowed regions face similarly severe conditions (Fleischman, G. L. et al. 2011). Conventional electronics are not built to handle this level of cold reliably: performance slows, battery efficiency drops, and in some cases systems fail outright. This has driven the development of specialized low-temperature electronics capable of operating without excessive supplemental heating. Rather than relying on a single central control unit, many modern systems distribute electronics across the spacecraft or rover, allowing sensors, actuators and control systems to process information locally. This reduces wiring complexity, improves overall reliability, and limits the impact of a single failure, since other subsystems can continue operating independently. According to Fleischman, G. L. et al. (2011), distributed electronics capable of surviving extreme cold are a key enabling technology for future lunar bases, planetary rovers, and long-duration deep-space exploration.

6.5 Sensor Evaluation in Extreme Environments

Modern spacecraft rely on hundreds of sensors to monitor both the surrounding environment and the health of the spacecraft itself, continuously measuring temperature, pressure, radiation, vibration, structural strain, and electrical performance so that onboard systems can identify abnormal conditions before they become critical. Because many spacecraft operate autonomously for extended periods, these measurements are essential to navigation, fault detection, and overall mission safety. A sensor's usefulness depends entirely on its reliability, so sensors undergo extensive testing under simulated conditions — including temperature cycling, vibration, radiation exposure, vacuum, and dust contamination — before flight (Fleischman, G. L. et al. 2011). This testing evaluates measurement accuracy after prolonged exposure and assesses whether sensor calibration drifts over time, which is especially important in spacecraft, robotic systems, and life-support systems, where inaccurate data can lead to incorrect decisions or unnecessary failures. Reliable sensor data is the foundation on which autonomous operation in extreme environments is built.

6.6 Moving Mechanical Assemblies, Materials, and Lubricants

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

While electronics govern spacecraft operation, moving mechanical assemblies — bearings, gears, hinges, joints, seals, and deployment mechanisms — perform the physical work, and are expected to do so reliably for years despite vacuum, radiation, abrasive dust, and extreme temperatures. This creates significant challenges, since conventional lubricants can evaporate in vacuum, thicken at low temperatures, or degrade after prolonged radiation exposure (Roberts, E. W. 1990), with the resulting friction accelerating wear and reducing both efficiency and component lifespan. To address this, engineers have largely adopted solid lubricants, dry-film coatings, and specially formulated space lubricants in place of conventional liquid lubricants. Sealed bearings and protective coatings also help keep lunar and Martian regolith out of moving surfaces. According to Roberts, E. W. (1990), successful spacecraft tribology depends on selecting the right combination of materials, lubricants and surface treatments rather than relying on any single fix. This substitution is not without cost, however: solid lubricants and dry-film coatings solve the evaporation problem but typically wear out faster than liquid lubricants under sustained friction, representing a trade between failure modes rather than a straightforward improvement. Because many space mechanisms must operate maintenance-free for years, the long-term reliability of moving assemblies remains a central concern in spacecraft mechanical design.

7. ROBOTICS AND AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS FOR EXTREME ENVIRONMENTS

7.1 Space Robotics and Environmental Requirements

Space exploration relies heavily on robotics, since many environments are too dangerous, inaccessible, or costly for humans to work in directly. Robots handle a wide range of tasks, including planetary exploration, spacecraft servicing, infrastructure inspection, scientific data collection, and, increasingly, the construction of lunar and Martian bases. Deploying robots instead of astronauts mitigates risks associated with radiation exposure, extreme temperatures, and vacuum, while allowing missions to run for extended periods. As missions become more ambitious, robotics is increasingly foundational to space exploration rather than merely supportive.

Designing robots for space differs substantially from designing conventional industrial robots. Space robots must remain lightweight to control launch costs while retaining sufficient strength for demanding mechanical tasks, and must tolerate radiation, abrasive dust, severe temperature swings and repeated mechanical loading without losing precision. Communication delays that increase with distance from Earth also require many robotic systems to make autonomous decisions with minimal human input. According to Ellery, A. (2016), autonomous robotic systems capable of operating reliably in extreme environments will be central to future lunar bases, Mars missions, and orbital infrastructure.

7.2 Material Selection for Space Robots

A space robot's performance depends heavily on the materials from which it is constructed. Every component — robotic arms, wheels, joints, grippers, and protective structures — must withstand harsh conditions while remaining as light as possible, requiring engineers to balance strength, mass, thermal stability and durability as in broader spacecraft design. Components under repeated mechanical loading require high fatigue resistance, while external structures must additionally resist radiation, abrasion, and extreme temperature. Different components typically call for different materials: lightweight composites are commonly used in structural components to reduce launch mass, while titanium alloys and specialized steels are used for joints and load-bearing mechanisms owing to their strength and wear resistance. Protective coatings and surface treatments provide further resistance to abrasion from lunar and Martian regolith. Material selection directly shapes a robot's mobility, lifespan, and overall mission reliability, making it one of the most important stages in designing robotic systems for space.

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

7.3 Robotic Systems for Planetary Exploration

Planetary exploration presents some of the most demanding challenges in robotics. Rovers, robotic arms, drills, and sample collection systems must operate on uneven terrain while facing abrasive dust, reduced gravity, and severe temperature swings, without the benefit of regular maintenance or rapid human assistance available to industrial robots on Earth. Every system must therefore be built for years of operation while carrying out complex scientific work throughout. Communication delay presents a further challenge: commands from Earth can take several minutes to reach Mars, ruling out real-time control and pushing planetary robots toward greater autonomy in navigating terrain, avoiding obstacles, and conducting scientific investigations with minimal human supervision. According to Ellery, A. (2016), autonomous mobility and intelligent decision-making become increasingly important as missions extend into more distant and challenging environments, enabling future robotic explorers to gather more data while reducing operational risk.

7.4 Biomimetics for Extreme Environments

Biomimetics is a field dedicated to translating mechanisms already refined by natural selection into engineering solutions. According to Lepora, N. et al. (2013), biomimetic engineering spans self-healing materials, vibration reduction, fracture prevention, and energy-efficient movement systems, extending well beyond materials science into robotic locomotion, space debris capture, deployable structures, and space-based solar energy concepts. Flexible, animal-inspired robotic mechanisms may allow future explorers to navigate rough terrain more effectively than traditional wheeled vehicles, while biological healing mechanisms continue to inform the self-repairing materials discussed earlier in this study. Much of this work remains under development, but biomimetics illustrates how studying natural systems, rather than relying solely on conventional mechanical design, can produce novel engineering solutions.

7.5 Servicing, Manufacturing and Assembly in Space

As missions become longer and more ambitious, replacing damaged spacecraft or launching every component from Earth becomes increasingly impractical, motivating robotic systems capable of servicing satellites, assembling structures, and manufacturing components directly in space. Robotic servicing extends a spacecraft's operational life by handling inspections, replacing failed components, and performing maintenance that would otherwise require launching an entirely new mission, reducing both cost and risk. Future lunar and Martian missions are expected to rely increasingly on robotic construction: autonomous systems could assemble habitats, deploy infrastructure, and process local materials before astronauts arrive on-site. Modular construction, in which large structures are built from smaller components launched separately, offers a further path toward reducing single-launch mass requirements. As long-duration exploration becomes more feasible, robotic manufacturing and assembly are likely to become essential to sustainable space infrastructure that does not depend on constant resupply from Earth.

7.6 Space Infrastructure Protection

Protecting space infrastructure is an increasingly significant concern as the number of satellites, spacecraft, and planned lunar facilities grows. These systems remain exposed to radiation, micrometeoroid impacts, orbital debris, and gradual mechanical wear throughout their operational lives, and even small, high-velocity particles can damage spacecraft surfaces, solar panels, and critical mechanical systems. Infrastructure must therefore be designed to resist environmental damage while remaining operational for years. Stronger materials alone are insufficient; modern space infrastructure increasingly relies on shielding systems, structural redundancy, continuous monitoring, and robotic inspection to identify problems before they become mission-threatening. Autonomous maintenance robots may eventually

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

handle spacecraft inspection, minor repairs, and lunar-base monitoring without exposing astronauts to unnecessary risk. The combination of improved mechanical design and robotic technology is likely to be central to keeping future space infrastructure safe, reliable, and long-lasting.

8. HABITAT DESIGN FOR SPACE, LUNAR, MARTIAN, AND DEEP UNDERGROUND ENVIRONMENTS

8.1 Habitability in Extreme Environments

Habitability describes an environment's ability to provide the fundamental necessities required to sustain life — climate, food, water, and shelter. Habitability is therefore a vital standard for determining whether an environment is suitable for human presence. During the planning of its space missions, NASA treats habitability as something that must be tested physically rather than designed on paper alone; mockup habitats including the Human Exploration Research Analog (HERA), the 20 Foot Chamber Exploration Atmospheres habitat, and the Crew Health And Performance Exploration Analog (CHAPEA) habitat have been used to test the habitability of future exploration missions (NASA, 2026). Beyond basic survival and structural needs, habitats must also support the psychological well-being of their occupants, since psychological strain poses a threat to mission success comparable to other engineering failures. Taken together, habitability can be treated as a measurable criterion, informed by precedents such as Skylab and the International Space Station, rather than as an abstract concept.

8.2 Environmental Characteristics and Design Elements

Habitats in space face numerous stressors, including radiation, abrasive dust, drastic pressure changes, isolation, and limited resources, and must be capable of resisting all of them. Establishing an independent, sustainable, and permanent infrastructure introduces additional logistical and infrastructure challenges (Naser, M.Z. et al. 2023), and Naser et al. treat structural survivability and long-term psychological wellness as distinct problems that must be addressed simultaneously. Since the external environment cannot support human life, temperature, pressure, air quality and humidity must all be actively regulated, making the maintenance of a controlled internal environment the single most important design goal for any habitat. This requires ventilation, thermal control, life support, and environmental monitoring systems built with redundancy, since the failure of a single life-support component could put occupants at serious risk. Because space and resources are far more constrained than in a conventional building, every element of the interior layout must serve a clear purpose, with areas for sleeping, working, storage, hygiene and exercise arranged efficiently while still allowing occupants to move and function normally. Lighting and interior design are also important given the absence of natural environmental cues, particularly in permanently enclosed or underground habitats (Naser, M.Z. et al. 2023). A habitat's materials and structure must match the specific environment for which it is designed: the outer structure must protect against radiation, micrometeoroids, and temperature swings while remaining light enough to transport or construct, with additional shielding either built into the structure itself or added afterward using local materials such as regolith. The surrounding environment therefore does not merely create problems for habitat design — it directly shapes how a habitat must be built.

8.3 Lunar and Martian Habitat Configurations

Habitats for the Moon and Mars must shield occupants from radiation, temperature extremes, dust, and vacuum while providing a controlled environment for long-duration missions. One practical approach uses the surrounding environment as part of the structure itself: covering a habitat with lunar or Martian regolith provides radiation shielding while reducing the amount of dedicated shielding material that must be transported from Earth. NASA research suggests that a sufficient layer of lunar regolith can meaningfully

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

protect against galactic cosmic rays and solar energetic particles (Adams, J. H. Jr. & Bhattacharya, M. 2004). This approach uses locally available material rather than material shipped from Earth, reducing launch mass at the cost of additional excavation or construction effort on-site; moving and placing enough regolith to achieve meaningful shielding thickness requires either heavy excavation equipment or substantial robotic or EVA labour, meaning the mass savings from omitting Earth-launched shielding are partly offset by the required construction effort.

Several habitat configurations have been proposed. Inflatable structures can be transported compactly and expanded on arrival, while underground or regolith-covered habitats provide further protection from radiation and micrometeoroids. Naser, M. Z., Redmond, L., Chen, Q. & Rangaraju, P. (2023) describe inflatable habitats as one approach to reducing transportation and construction difficulty, alongside underground, mobile, and regolith-shielded concepts. Future lunar habitats, including Artemis-era designs, will need to integrate structural protection, power generation, life support, communication, mobility, and emergency systems as a unified design problem, rather than addressing each separately.

8.4 Lunar Regolith and Dust Challenges

The dust covering the lunar surface presents one of the most significant engineering challenges of lunar operations. Lunar regolith is extremely fine, abrasive, and electrostatically charged; unlike terrestrial dust, it has not been weathered by wind or water, so many particles retain sharp edges. NASA describes lunar dust as capable of damaging equipment and spacesuits because of these abrasive and adhesive properties. Nearly every mechanical system operating on the surface must contend with this problem: dust infiltrates seals and joints, damages moving mechanisms, coats solar panels and optical instruments, and degrades thermal-control surfaces. During the Apollo missions, the abrasive nature of lunar dust caused problems with spacecraft joints and seals, and dust entered the lunar module despite efforts to keep it out (Gaier, J. R. 2005). Electrostatic charge compounds these problems, since charged particles adhere readily to surfaces and are carried into habitats by astronauts and rovers. Removal is also difficult: brushing itself causes abrasion, and electrostatic forces make particles resistant to removal, while their small size allows them to enter gaps and mechanisms inaccessible to larger particles. Collectively, these characteristics make lunar regolith one of the most significant mechanical obstacles to sustained activity on the Moon.

8.5 Human Health and Lunar Dust Exposure

Lunar dust also poses a direct threat to astronaut health. During the Apollo missions, astronauts reported dust entering the lunar module and remaining suspended inside after returning from the surface; fine particles could be inhaled, and contact with the dust caused irritation and other health concerns. NASA reports that the finer fraction of lunar dust can remain airborne inside habitats, posing a health risk on longer missions — a concern that becomes considerably more significant for missions lasting months rather than the few days spent on the surface during Apollo. Reduced gravity allows fine particles to remain suspended longer, increasing the likelihood of inhalation and habitat contamination over time. Because lunar dust has been linked to potentially harmful physiological effects, exposure control is a necessary design requirement rather than an optional consideration, motivating systems such as airlocks, filtration, suitports, and dedicated dust-removal procedures to prevent particles from entering living areas. The dust that damages seals, joints, and equipment is the same dust capable of entering an astronaut's lungs, meaning mechanical and human safety concerns must be addressed together rather than treated as separate problems.

8.6 Lunar Dust Mitigation Methods

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Because lunar dust cannot be addressed using conventional cleaning methods, several specialized mitigation techniques are under development. Protective coatings reduce how easily particles adhere to surfaces, while sealed joints and mechanical barriers keep dust away from sensitive components. NASA has also investigated electrodynamic dust shields, which use electric fields to remove charged particles from surfaces without physical contact (Calle, C. I. et al. 2011). This is not purely theoretical: NASA's Electrodynamic Dust Shield has already been demonstrated on the lunar surface during Firefly's Blue Ghost Mission 1, successfully clearing regolith from surfaces including glass and thermal radiators (Plucinsky, S. 2025). Electrostatic mitigation systems are notable for using the same property that makes lunar dust difficult to manage — its electrostatic charge — as the mechanism for removing it. Beyond electrodynamic shields, suitports, airlocks, filtration systems, dust-resistant materials, and operational procedures all help limit how much regolith enters habitats. A long-term lunar base will likely require several of these methods used together, since no single technique eliminates dust exposure on its own, underscoring the importance of continued development in this area.

9. FAULT DETECTION, TESTING, AND SYSTEM RELIABILITY

9.1 Fault Detection and Isolation in Spacecraft Systems

No amount of advanced materials or protective systems eliminates failure entirely, which is why spacecraft require dedicated systems to catch faults before they escalate into major failures. Fault detection and isolation (FDI) involves monitoring system behaviour, identifying abnormal conditions, and determining which component or subsystem is responsible — a task made more important in space, where physical inspection or repair is usually not an option. The simplest FDI approach uses predefined thresholds: if a measured value falls outside an acceptable range, the system is flagged as faulty. This approach is inexpensive and computationally simple, but has a clear limitation: systems relying solely on threshold-based detection can remain unaware of a slowly developing fault — one that stays just inside the threshold for an extended period — until the resulting damage has already become significant. More advanced methods, including support vector machines, neural networks, and signal-based analysis, are better able to detect these subtler patterns. Regardless of method, early fault detection allows systems to isolate a damaged component, switch to a backup, or adjust operating parameters before a failure becomes catastrophic. This capability is increasingly important as spacecraft become more autonomous, since missions operating far from Earth cannot wait for an engineer to review every abnormal reading. FDI systems allow a spacecraft to monitor itself and respond to problems with minimal human input, improving both reliability and mission safety.

9.2 Data-Driven Fault Detection Techniques

Fault detection increasingly draws on the substantial volume of data generated by spacecraft sensors. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) can be trained to characterize "normal" system behaviour and flag deviations from it. Other approaches, including Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) models and Prony-based methods, analyze signal behaviour directly to identify fault-related changes, while hybrid methods combine several approaches to improve overall performance. These methods require substantial representative training data, which is difficult to obtain for spacecraft faults, since most missions are not expected to fail and relatively few labelled fault examples exist as a result. This scarcity of labelled fault data constrains how confidently such models can be validated.

Part of the value of these methods lies in the fact that spacecraft faults do not always present as clean threshold violations — a component can drift in behaviour gradually while individual sensors continue to read within their normal ranges. Data-driven methods identify relationships and patterns across multiple signals simultaneously, catching subtler shifts that single-sensor threshold checks would miss. This is the

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

reasoning behind studies of ANN, ARMA, Prony, and hybrid approaches as means of improving autonomous system monitoring. This connects directly to predictive maintenance, applied here to detection rather than repair: rather than waiting for a component to fail outright, a spacecraft can flag abnormal behaviour early and act before conditions become critical — an approach that is especially valuable for systems that cannot be physically repaired once deployed.

9.3 Simulation for Reliability Testing

Testing spacecraft directly under the conditions they will face in operation is both difficult and expensive, so engineers instead rely on functional engineering simulators and computational models to reproduce spacecraft behaviour and identify possible faults before launch. These simulations can reproduce sensor signals, subsystem interactions, and abnormal operating conditions without exposing an actual spacecraft to risk. Feature extraction identifies the relevant characteristics of system signals, and different fault conditions can then be introduced to test whether a detection system correctly identifies them, allowing engineers to compare detection methods and identify weaknesses before deployment. The value of this comparison, however, depends entirely on how faithfully the simulation captures real failure physics: a method that performs well against a simplified fault model is not automatically guaranteed to perform equally well against the more complex and less predictable ways real hardware tends to fail. Nonetheless, deliberately introducing failures and observing system response provides a useful additional layer of reliability assurance beyond design alone, allowing engineers to refine fault detection, validate designs, and identify potential failure modes before they result in real mission failures. In an environment offering little margin for error, this kind of testing is essential rather than optional.

10. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 Conclusion

The extreme conditions of space push engineering to its limits, and a single design error or miscalculation can result in the complete failure of a mission, with consequences ranging from financial loss to loss of life. Preventing such failure is not straightforward: radiation, dust, vacuum, pressure, corrosion, vibration, and temperature extremes act together to make reliable engineering considerably more difficult than on Earth, and conventional mechanisms tend to fail under these combined stresses. Over the past several decades, however, substantial solutions have been developed. Self-healing materials can recover much of their original structural state after damage, reducing the need for otherwise-impossible repair, while smart composite materials extend this further by detecting damage and failure before it becomes critical; used together, these two approaches mitigate much of the damage that would otherwise threaten a mission. Thermal Protection Systems, whether ablative or reusable, prevent spacecraft from being destroyed during atmospheric re-entry. Even lunar dust, one of the most persistent problems in space engineering, now has a partial solution in electrodynamic dust shields. Coatings mitigate abrasion-related damage across a wide range of components, and fault detection systems — from simple thresholds to advanced data-driven models — allow a spacecraft to identify problems without waiting for human intervention.

None of these solutions is complete. Self-healing materials function only up to a limited damage threshold, beyond which they cannot fully recover their original form, and even demonstrated systems such as electrodynamic dust shields have so far been validated only once, without long-term testing across multiple missions. This underscores the central finding of this study: there is no universal solution to the challenges posed by the space environment. Each problem must instead be addressed on its own terms, informed by its specific underlying cause. Only through this kind of targeted, evidence-based engineering can missions be carried out with the reliability that the unforgiving nature of the space environment demands.

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

10.2 Future Recommendations

Future work should focus on raising the recovery threshold of self-healing materials and reducing the mass and complexity of smart composite sensors. Dust mitigation systems such as electrodynamic shields require long-term testing across multiple missions rather than a single demonstration, alongside the development of thermal control materials designed to resist degradation over extended durations. Radiation-hardened electronics should continue to be developed in step with increasingly autonomous spacecraft. More broadly, every system currently in use would benefit from continued refinement, and continued progress toward fully autonomous missions — supported by recent advances in artificial intelligence and robotics — is likely to reduce the proportion of failures attributable to human error.

References

Aïssa, B., Therriault, D., Haddad, E., & Jamroz, W. (2012). Self-healing materials systems: Overview of major approaches and recent developed technologies. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering, 2012*, Article 854203. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/854203

Calle, C. I., Hogue, M. D., Snyder, S. J., Clements, S. J., Johansen, M. R., & Chen, A. (2011). Electrodynamic dust shield technology for thermal radiators used in lunar exploration. [NASA technical report; publication details to be confirmed.]

Cruise, R., Hadler, K., Starr, S. O., & Cilliers, J. J. (2022). The effect of particle size and relative humidity on triboelectric charge saturation. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 55*, 185306.

Duri, L. G., Caporale, A. G., Rouphael, Y., Vingiani, S., Palladino, M., De Pascale, S., & Adamo, P. (2022). The potential for lunar and Martian regolith simulants to sustain plant growth: A multidisciplinary overview. *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, 8*, Article 747821. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2021.747821

Finckenor, M. M., & Dooling, D. (1999). *Multilayer insulation material guidelines* (NASA/TP-1999-209263). National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Finckenor, M. M., & de Groh, K. K. (2015). *A researcher's guide to International Space Station: Space environmental effects*. NASA ISS Program Science Office.

Gaier, J. R. (2005). *The effects of lunar dust on EVA systems during the Apollo missions* (NASA/TM-2005-213610). NASA Glenn Research Center.

Giurgiutiu, V. (2008). *Structural health monitoring with piezoelectric wafer active sensors*. Academic Press.

Gilmore, D. G. (Ed.). (2002). *Spacecraft thermal control handbook: Volume I—Fundamental technologies* (2nd ed.). Aerospace Press.

Jose, S. A., Lapierre, Z., Williams, T., Hope, C., Jardin, T., Rodriguez, R., & Menezes, P. L. (2025). Wear- and corrosion-resistant coatings for extreme environments: Advances, challenges, and future perspectives. *Coatings, 15*(8), Article 878. https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings15080878

Lepora, N. F., Verschure, P., & Prescott, T. J. (2013). The state of the art in biomimetics. *Bioinspiration & Biomimetics, 8*(1), 013001. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-3182/8/1/013001

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the **Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence** (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)