Published by

British Journal of Contemporary Research

BEXFORD PUBLISHING

Democracy and Grassroots Development in Nigeria: Challenges, Contemporary Realities and Policy Imperatives

Review Article | Volume 1 | Issue 6 | 2026 | Article Number: 018

Accepted: 16 October 2026 | Published: 10 November 2026 | ISSN: 2979-8582 (Online)

Crossref : **https://doi.org/10.67693/BJCR-TULASU7G**

Ibukunoluwa Bose OLOJEDE (PhD)[1], Oladimeji Abiodun ASHADE (PhD)[1]

[1]Department of Public Administration, Lagos State University, Nigeria

IBO 0000-0002-7667-4015, **OAA** 0000-0001-8296-7976

Correspondence: Ibukunoluwa Bose OLOJEDE (PhD), oladimeji.ashade@lasu.edu.ng

Abstract

Democracy is widely regarded as an important institutional framework for promoting citizen participation, political accountability and development at the grassroots. However, the existence of democratic institutions does not automatically translate into effective governance or improved socioeconomic conditions. This paper conceptually examines the challenges confronting democratic governance in Nigeria and their implications for grassroots development. Using a qualitative desktop-analysis approach, the paper draws on scholarly literature, institutional reports and relevant contemporary evidence to examine democracy, grassroots development and the relationship between them. The analysis identifies neo-patrimonialism, electoral violence, political godfatherism, vote buying, weak adherence to constitutionalism and the rule of law, declining political trust, weaknesses in electoral administration, limited local government autonomy and deficiencies in accountability as major constraints on the developmental potential of Nigeria's democracy. Although Nigeria has maintained uninterrupted civilian rule since 1999 and introduced important reforms, including the Electoral Act 2022, significant gaps remain between democratic institutions and developmental outcomes at the grassroots. The paper argues that democracy contributes meaningfully to grassroots development when electoral competition is credible, institutions are accountable, citizens participate beyond periodic elections, and local governments possess sufficient political and fiscal capacity to respond to community needs. It recommends stronger electoral accountability, protection of local government autonomy, civic education, institutional reforms and expanded mechanisms for citizen participation in local governance.

Keywords: Democracy, Electoral Governance, Grassroots Development, Local Government, Political Participation, Accountability, Nigeria

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

1. Introduction

Democracy occupies a central position in contemporary debates on governance and development because it provides an institutional framework through which citizens participate in determining who governs them, how public authority is exercised and how political officeholders are held accountable. Beyond periodic elections, democratic governance encompasses political participation, constitutionalism, rule of law, protection of fundamental rights, institutional accountability, responsiveness and opportunities for citizens to influence public decisions. Consequently, the developmental significance of democracy should not be assessed solely by the regularity with which elections are conducted but also by the extent to which democratic institutions improve citizens' capacity to participate in governance and obtain public goods and services.

At the grassroots level, the relationship between democracy and development is particularly significant. Local communities constitute the point at which citizens encounter government most directly through primary education, primary health care, sanitation, local roads, markets, community infrastructure and other basic services. Democratic governance at this level is therefore expected to bring public institutions closer to citizens, facilitate participation in decisions affecting communities and strengthen accountability in the allocation and utilisation of public resources. As Ella and Otinche (2017) observe, democracy provides opportunities for leadership choice, political participation and periodic assessment of those entrusted with public authority.

Nigeria's democratic experience presents both achievements and continuing contradictions. Since the return to civilian government in May 1999, the country has sustained uninterrupted democratic rule and experienced successive electoral cycles and transfers of political authority. The legal and institutional architecture of electoral governance has also undergone reforms. The Electoral Act 2022, for example, introduced measures intended to strengthen electoral administration and the use of technology in the electoral process. Nevertheless, challenges associated with electoral violence, vote buying, political patronage, weak internal party democracy, declining citizen trust and institutional weaknesses continue to raise questions about the substantive quality of democratic governance.

These concerns became particularly visible during the 2023 general elections. Although citizens demonstrated substantial interest in the electoral process, domestic and international assessments identified logistical, technological, transparency and electoral-administration shortcomings. The European Union Election Observation Mission subsequently proposed 23 recommendations addressing legal ambiguities, electoral transparency, accountability, inclusion and electoral offences. Of particular concern is declining electoral participation. Nigeria's 2023 presidential election recorded historically low voter participation relative to the number of registered voters, reinforcing concerns about political disengagement and confidence in electoral institutions.

The grassroots dimension of the democratic question has become even more significant following recent debates over local government autonomy. Nigeria operates 774 constitutionally recognised local government areas, yet the effectiveness of this tier has historically been constrained by political, administrative and fiscal relationships with state governments. The Supreme Court's July 2024 decision concerning local government financial autonomy consequently represents an important development in debates about democratic governance at the grassroots. The decision strengthened the legal basis for direct financial administration by democratically constituted local governments and reopened broader questions concerning local electoral credibility, accountability and institutional capacity.

The central concern, therefore, is not simply whether Nigeria operates a democratic system but whether democratic institutions and practices generate meaningful developmental outcomes for citizens at the

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

grassroots. Democracy may create institutional opportunities for participation and accountability, but such opportunities can be undermined by patronage, political exclusion, electoral manipulation, weak institutions and inadequate local autonomy. It is against this background that this paper examines the challenges of democracy in Nigeria and their implications for grassroots development. The paper seeks to examine the major challenges confronting democratic governance in Nigeria; analyse the implications of these challenges for grassroots development; and identify policy measures capable of strengthening the contribution of democratic governance to sustainable grassroots development in Nigeria.

2. Conceptual Clarification

2.1 Democracy

Democracy is fundamentally concerned with the location, exercise and accountability of political authority. Iyayi (2019) conceptualises democracy as a system of government in which citizens participate in decisions concerning public affairs, particularly through the election of representatives. Similarly, Mohammed (2019) associates democracy with popular sovereignty and the enjoyment of fundamental political and civil liberties, including freedom of association, freedom of expression, freedom of conscience and the rights to vote and contest for public office.

Democracy, however, extends beyond electoral participation. Elections constitute an indispensable component of democratic governance because they provide citizens with opportunities to select leaders and periodically evaluate their performance. Nevertheless, democracy also requires the rule of law, constitutional government, protection of rights, political equality, institutional checks and balances, transparency, accountability and opportunities for continuous citizen engagement. Omotola (2021) emphasises several attributes of democratic governance, including competitive elections, equality before the law, judicial independence, civil liberties, institutional restraints on governmental power and a vibrant civil society.

From this perspective, democracy may be understood as a system of governance in which public authority derives legitimacy from citizens and is exercised within constitutional and institutional limitations. Those entrusted with authority remain accountable to the population from whom such authority originates. The democratic process therefore requires meaningful competition for political power, broad participation and sufficient political and civil liberties to enable citizens to make informed choices (Jatau, 2019).

Ogundiwin and Ogu (2022) similarly identify political equality, popular sovereignty, respect for human dignity, rule of law and liberty as important elements of democratic society. Garuba (2017) further argues that democracy provides citizens with mechanisms for selecting political representatives and holding them accountable for their policies and conduct in public office.

The substantive character of democracy is particularly important in developing societies. A political system cannot be adequately assessed as democratic merely because elections are periodically conducted. The quality of democracy also depends on whether electoral competition is credible, public institutions function according to law, citizens can participate without intimidation, political rights are protected, and the government responds to legitimate public needs. Kolawole (2017) and Ishaya (2018) therefore draw attention to participation as an important component of democratic governance.

Accordingly, this paper conceptualises democracy as a system of accountable governance based on popular sovereignty, competitive political participation, constitutionalism, rule of law, protection of rights and institutional responsiveness. This broader understanding provides an appropriate basis for examining whether democratic governance contributes to development at Nigeria's grassroots.

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

2.2 Grassroots Development

Grassroots development refers to socioeconomic, political and institutional improvements that directly enhance the living conditions and capabilities of people within local communities. It places citizens and communities at the centre of development rather than treating them merely as passive recipients of government programmes.

Anikeze (2010) views grassroots development as a process of socioeconomic transformation directed towards improving conditions within local communities through the mobilisation and effective utilisation of human and material resources. In this sense, development at the grassroots involves strengthening the capacity of communities to address local needs while improving their access to economic opportunities, infrastructure and social services.

Grassroots development should therefore be understood as multidimensional. It encompasses improved access to basic education, primary health care, potable water, sanitation, local roads, markets, employment opportunities and community security. It equally includes less tangible dimensions such as citizen participation, social inclusion, political empowerment, institutional responsiveness and opportunities for communities to influence decisions affecting their welfare.

Akanji and Akosile (2001) associate development with improvements in productivity, investment, institutional capacity and living standards. Afegbua (2021) similarly emphasises a people-centred conception of development involving increased availability of life-sustaining goods, improved living standards, employment and education, alongside greater freedom and participation in decisions affecting local communities.

Consequently, grassroots development in the Nigerian context may be conceptualised as sustained improvement in the socioeconomic wellbeing, institutional capacity and political empowerment of citizens within local communities. It involves both the delivery of tangible public services and the creation of governance arrangements through which local people participate meaningfully in decisions affecting their communities.

2.3 Democracy and Grassroots Development: The Conceptual Nexus

The relationship between democracy and grassroots development is neither automatic nor linear. Democratic institutions may create opportunities for development by strengthening participation, accountability and governmental responsiveness, but these potential benefits depend upon the quality of institutions through which democracy operates.

At the grassroots, democracy provides citizens with mechanisms for expressing preferences, selecting representatives and demanding accountability for the utilisation of public resources. Competitive elections may encourage political officeholders to respond to community priorities because their continued tenure depends, at least theoretically, upon citizens' approval. Participatory governance can also improve the identification of local needs because citizens possess contextual knowledge concerning the developmental challenges confronting their communities.

Democratic governance may further promote grassroots development by strengthening transparency and accountability. Where citizens have access to information concerning local budgets, projects and expenditures, they are better positioned to scrutinise government decisions. Civil society organisations, community associations, traditional institutions and the media may similarly provide channels through which citizens communicate demands and monitor government performance.

However, the existence of democratic institutions does not guarantee these outcomes. Where electoral

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

competition is distorted by violence, patronage, vote buying or political godfatherism, elected representatives may become more accountable to political sponsors than to citizens. Similarly, where local governments lack meaningful fiscal or administrative autonomy, democratic representation may have limited capacity to translate citizens' preferences into developmental programmes.

Thus, the relevant analytical question is not simply whether democracy promotes grassroots development, but **under what institutional conditions democratic governance produces developmental outcomes**. This distinction is particularly important to understanding Nigeria's experience.

3. Methodology

The paper adopts a qualitative desktop-analysis approach. It relies primarily on secondary sources, including scholarly books, journal articles, conference proceedings, inaugural lectures, institutional reports, electoral reports and relevant legal and policy documents. The approach is appropriate because the paper seeks to conceptually interrogate the relationship between democratic governance and grassroots development rather than statistically estimate causal relationships between predetermined variables.

The materials were reviewed thematically around democracy, political participation, electoral governance, neopatrimonialism, political patronage, rule of law, local government autonomy, institutional accountability and grassroots development. Contemporary institutional evidence was incorporated to situate the arguments within developments in Nigeria's Fourth Republic, particularly the Electoral Act 2022, the 2023 general elections and recent reforms affecting local government governance. The analysis is therefore interpretative and explanatory rather than empirical in the conventional survey sense.

4. Challenges of Democratic Governance in Nigeria

4.1 Neopatrimonialism and Political Patronage

Neopatrimonialism remains an important challenge to the institutionalisation of democratic governance in Nigeria. Adeleke and Oni (2021), Egugbo and Abang (2017), Ajibewa (2006) and Ishaya (2018) draw attention, from different perspectives, to institutional and political practices that weaken democratic governance.

Neopatrimonial politics emerges where formally established legal and bureaucratic institutions coexist with informal relationships of personal loyalty, patronage and clientelism. Political authority and public resources may consequently be used to maintain networks of political support rather than exclusively to pursue collective developmental objectives.

The developmental consequences can be substantial. Where access to political opportunities or public resources depends disproportionately upon personal connections and political loyalty, institutional accountability may be weakened. Public appointments, contracts and development projects may be influenced by political considerations rather than objective assessments of community needs. At the local level, this can distort development priorities and weaken citizens' confidence in government.

Neopatrimonialism therefore affects grassroots development not merely because it constitutes undesirable political behaviour, but because it can alter the incentive structure governing the allocation of scarce public resources.

4.2 Electoral Violence and the "Do-or-Die" Character of Political Competition

Another persistent challenge is the highly adversarial character of electoral competition. In some contexts, political office is perceived as providing substantial access to economic resources, influence and patronage. This increases the stakes associated with electoral victory and can encourage political actors to adopt strategies that undermine democratic competition.

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Electoral violence may involve intimidation, destruction of electoral materials, attacks on opponents, disruption of voting, coercion of electoral officials or the use of political thugs. Contemporary digital communication has added another dimension through disinformation, coordinated smear campaigns, inflammatory content and other forms of online political manipulation.

Nigeria's 2023 general elections illustrate the continuing relevance of this problem. Election observers documented incidents of intimidation, violence and disruption in several locations. The EU Election Observation Mission subsequently identified electoral accountability and the prosecution of electoral offences among areas requiring further reform.

Electoral violence has consequences extending beyond election day. It can discourage participation, reduce trust in political institutions and prevent qualified citizens from seeking elective office. At the grassroots, these outcomes weaken the representative foundations upon which accountable local development should be constructed.

4.3 Political Godfatherism and Weak Internal Party Democracy

Political godfatherism remains closely associated with patronage politics in Nigeria. Political godfathers are influential individuals or networks capable of shaping candidate selection, party organisation and access to political resources. Where such influence overwhelms transparent party procedures, candidates may become more responsive to political sponsors than to party members or constituents.

This challenge is particularly significant because democratic accountability begins before the general election. Where political parties do not operate democratically in selecting candidates, citizens may ultimately be required to choose among candidates produced through exclusionary or opaque processes.

The developmental implication is straightforward: elected officials whose political survival depends predominantly upon elite patrons may have reduced incentives to prioritise community preferences. Resources intended for local development can consequently become entangled in patronage obligations, thereby weakening service delivery and public accountability.

4.4 Vote Buying, Poverty and Political Vulnerability

Vote buying has become another significant challenge to substantive democracy in Nigeria. Economic vulnerability can create conditions in which immediate material incentives influence electoral choices. This should not, however, be interpreted simply as a failure of individual voters. Rather, it reflects the interaction between poverty, weak political accountability, electoral competition and patronage.

Where political actors successfully convert economic vulnerability into electoral advantage through material inducement, elections become less effective as mechanisms for retrospective accountability. Citizens may also find it more difficult to demand long-term developmental performance where electoral relationships have been reduced to short-term exchanges.

This produces a potentially self-reinforcing cycle: inadequate development sustains poverty; poverty increases vulnerability to political inducement; transactional politics weakens accountability; and weak accountability further undermines development.

4.5 Declining Political Participation and Institutional Trust

Political participation constitutes an important indicator of democratic vitality. Nigeria's experience demonstrates growing concern about voter disengagement. Although the number of registered voters has expanded over successive electoral cycles, participation in presidential elections has declined considerably.

Research examining Nigerian elections between 1999 and 2023 associates declining voter turnout with

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

insecurity, electoral violence, voter suppression, distrust in electoral administration and dissatisfaction with governance. Such trends should be taken seriously because persistent withdrawal from electoral participation can weaken representative legitimacy.

Nevertheless, Nigerians have not necessarily rejected democracy itself. Contemporary Afrobarometer evidence continues to show substantial preference for democratic government among Nigerians. The central problem therefore appears to involve the gap between citizens' normative support for democracy and their experience of how democratic institutions actually perform.

For grassroots development, restoring political trust requires visible evidence that participation matters: votes must count, public officials must remain accountable, and citizen engagement must influence public decisions.

4.6 Weak Adherence to Constitutionalism and the Rule of Law

Constitutionalism involves more than the existence of a written constitution. It requires political actors and institutions to exercise authority within legally prescribed limits. Mohammed (2019) emphasises the importance of the rule of law to democratic governance.

Where political actors disregard established rules, manipulate institutions or use public authority selectively, democratic governance is weakened. The effect is particularly serious where electoral offences or misuse of public resources occur without effective sanctions.

Strengthening democracy therefore requires not only improved legislation but consistent implementation. Nigeria has undertaken important electoral reforms, particularly through the Electoral Act 2022, but legislation can produce meaningful institutional change only when rules are predictably enforced.

4.7 Judicial Independence and Electoral Justice

An independent judiciary is indispensable to constitutional democracy because courts interpret the law, protect rights and resolve disputes concerning the exercise of political authority. This function is especially important in Nigeria, where electoral disputes frequently proceed to judicial determination.

The challenge should not simply be characterised as "corruption in the judiciary," as such a sweeping assertion requires specific empirical evidence. The more analytically defensible concern relates to public confidence in judicial independence, accessibility, timeliness and consistency in the administration of electoral justice.

A credible democratic system requires citizens and political actors to believe that disputes can be resolved through lawful institutions rather than violence or political influence. Strengthening judicial independence and public confidence in electoral adjudication is therefore essential to democratic consolidation.

5. Contemporary Democratic Governance and Grassroots Development in Nigeria

5.1 Electoral Act 2022 and the Continuing Reform Agenda

The Electoral Act 2022 represented an important development in Nigeria's electoral governance. Among other reforms, it strengthened the legal framework for electoral administration and provided greater scope for technological innovation.

The experience of the 2023 general elections, however, demonstrated that technological and legal reforms alone cannot guarantee electoral credibility. Challenges relating to logistics, transparency, result management, electoral violence and public communication affected confidence in aspects of the electoral process.

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

The experience demonstrates that democratic reform should combine legal improvement with institutional capacity, accountability, transparency and effective implementation.

5.2 Local Government Autonomy and Grassroots Democracy

Perhaps the most significant recent development connecting democracy directly with grassroots development is the renewed emphasis on local government autonomy.

Local government occupies a strategic position because it is institutionally closest to citizens. In principle, democratically elected local councils should enable communities to participate in decisions concerning local infrastructure, sanitation, markets, primary health care and other community services. In practice, however, political and fiscal dependence upon state governments has historically constrained the capacity of many Nigerian local governments.

The Supreme Court decision of July 2024 affirming financial autonomy for Nigeria's 774 local government councils therefore represents an important institutional development. Its developmental significance lies in the possibility of strengthening the capacity of democratically constituted councils to manage resources and respond more directly to community priorities.

Nevertheless, fiscal autonomy by itself cannot guarantee development. Increased access to resources without corresponding transparency, citizen oversight, credible local elections and administrative capacity may merely relocate opportunities for mismanagement. Local autonomy must therefore be accompanied by stronger accountability institutions.

The credibility of local government elections is equally important. Concerns have persisted regarding the independence and effectiveness of State Independent Electoral Commissions and the dominance of incumbent state political structures in local elections. Genuine grassroots democracy requires local electoral processes that enable citizens to select representatives through competitive and credible elections.

6. Implications for Grassroots Development

The challenges identified above have several implications for development in Nigeria's local communities.

First, weak electoral accountability can reduce the responsiveness of political representatives to community needs. Where political survival depends more heavily upon patronage networks than citizens' evaluations, incentives for effective service delivery may be weakened.

Second, electoral violence and political intimidation can reduce citizen participation. Development becomes less participatory when citizens withdraw from political processes because they perceive participation as unsafe or ineffective.

Third, patronage and corruption can distort resource allocation. Funds intended for roads, health facilities, schools, water supply and other community projects may be redirected or allocated according to political considerations.

Fourth, weak local government autonomy can produce a democratic deficit at the grassroots. Citizens may elect local representatives who nevertheless possess insufficient fiscal or administrative capacity to respond to local priorities.

Finally, declining trust can weaken collaboration between government and communities. Sustainable grassroots development frequently requires cooperation among local governments, community associations, traditional institutions, civil society organisations, businesses and citizens. Such cooperation becomes difficult where public institutions lack legitimacy.

Democratic consolidation and grassroots development should therefore be viewed as mutually reinforcing

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

processes. Effective democracy can strengthen development through accountability and participation, while visible improvements in citizens' welfare can strengthen confidence in democratic institutions.

7. Policy Recommendations

Strengthening the contribution of democracy to grassroots development requires a combination of institutional, electoral, administrative and civic reforms.

First, electoral accountability should be strengthened through more effective enforcement of the Electoral Act and credible investigation and prosecution of electoral offences. Electoral violence, vote buying, intimidation and deliberate disruption of voting should attract predictable sanctions irrespective of the political affiliation of perpetrators. The objective should be to reduce the perception that electoral misconduct carries little institutional consequence.

Second, reforms should strengthen the transparency and operational capacity of electoral institutions. Lessons from the 2023 general elections demonstrate the importance of reliable election technology, timely communication, transparent result management and effective logistical planning. Electoral technology should complement, rather than substitute for, institutional accountability.

Third, the implications of local government financial autonomy should be consolidated through complementary accountability reforms. Local councils should publish budgets, allocations, procurement information and project implementation reports in formats accessible to citizens. Community participation in budget preparation and project monitoring should equally be institutionalised.

Fourth, greater attention should be devoted to the credibility of local government elections. Grassroots development cannot be fully democratic where citizens have limited influence over who governs them locally. Reform of the institutional arrangements for conducting local elections should therefore prioritise independence, transparency, competitiveness and public confidence.

Fifth, civic and political education should be strengthened. Rather than introducing compulsory political science education for every university discipline, as suggested in the original paper, a more practicable approach would involve strengthening civic education across appropriate levels of education and expanding community-based voter and citizenship education. Local governments, civil society organisations, traditional institutions, professional associations, educational institutions and the media can collaborate in providing non-partisan civic education.

Sixth, citizen participation should extend beyond elections. Local councils should institutionalise town-hall meetings, participatory budgeting, community needs assessments, social audits, citizen feedback systems and public reporting mechanisms. These mechanisms would allow residents to participate continuously in decisions affecting their communities.

Finally, political parties should strengthen internal democracy. Transparent candidate-selection procedures and reduced dependence upon individual political patrons would improve the representative character of electoral competition and potentially strengthen the accountability of elected officials to citizens.

8. Conclusion

Democracy possesses considerable potential to advance grassroots development because it provides institutional mechanisms for citizen participation, leadership selection, accountability and responsiveness. However, the Nigerian experience demonstrates that periodic elections alone are insufficient to produce development. The developmental benefits of democracy depend upon the quality of political institutions, the credibility of elections, adherence to constitutionalism and the rule of law, citizen participation, accountable leadership and the capacity of local governments to respond to community needs.

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Nigeria's uninterrupted civilian rule since 1999 represents an important democratic achievement, while reforms such as the Electoral Act 2022 and recent developments concerning local government autonomy indicate continuing institutional evolution. Nevertheless, electoral violence, political patronage, vote buying, declining voter participation, weak internal party democracy and deficiencies in local accountability continue to constrain the transformation of democratic governance into improved living conditions at the grassroots.

The central policy challenge is therefore to move from **electoral democracy to developmental democracy**. This requires a system in which elections are credible, political institutions are accountable, citizens participate meaningfully between elections, and local governments possess both the capacity and responsibility to deliver measurable improvements in community welfare. Grassroots development will consequently depend not merely on preserving democratic institutions but on ensuring that those institutions function effectively for the people they are established to serve.

References

Adeleke, L., & Oni, O. (2021). Election litigation and democratic governance in Nigeria. In O. Oni, M. Fagbadebo, & A. Yagboyaju (Eds.), *Democratic practice and governance in Nigeria* (pp. 38–39). Routledge.

Afegbua, I. (2021). *Fundamentals of development administration*. Free Enterprise Publishers.

Afrobarometer. (2024). *African insights 2024: Democracy at risk—The people's perspective*. Afrobarometer.

Afrobarometer. (2026). *Nigeria: Country profile and Round 10 survey findings*. Afrobarometer.

Ajibewa, A. (2006). Democracy and corruption in Nigeria. In E. Ojo (Ed.), *Challenges of sustainable democracy in Nigeria* (pp. 271–272). John Archers Publishers.

Akanji, S., & Akosile, O. (2001). *Principles of development administration*. Dartrade Limited.

Anikeze, N. (2010). *Theories and practice of local government administration in Nigeria: A comparative perspective*. Academic Printing Press.

Egugbo, C., & Abang, O. (2017). Democracy and development in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. In S. Obiyan (Ed.), *Democracy, nation building and national development in Nigeria: Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Political Science Association, South-West Zone*. Obafemi Awolowo University.

Ella, J., & Otinche, S. (2017). The philosophy of democracy and nation-building in Nigeria: An overview. In S. Obiyan (Ed.), *Democracy, nation building and national development in Nigeria: Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Political Science Association, South-West Zone*. Obafemi Awolowo University.

European Union Election Observation Mission. (2023). *Nigeria 2023: Final report—General elections, 25 February and 18 March 2023*. European Union.

Freedom House. (2025). *Freedom in the World 2025: Nigeria*. Freedom House.

Garuba, O. (2017). Democracy, legislature and nation-building in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. In S. Obiyan (Ed.), *Democracy, nation building and national development in Nigeria: Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Political Science Association, South-West Zone*. Obafemi

© 2026 The Authors. Published by Bexford Publishing Ltd

Awolowo University.

Independent National Electoral Commission. (2024). *Report of the 2023 general election*. INEC.

Ishaya, H. (2018). *Politics: Concepts, principles and issues*. Faith International Publishers.

Isinkaye, A., & Joshua, S. (2017). Democracy and nation-building: A theoretical exploration. In S. Obiyan (Ed.), *Democracy, nation building and national development in Nigeria: Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Political Science Association, South-West Zone*. Obafemi Awolowo University.

Jatau, G. (2019). Challenges of democracy and political parties formation in Nigeria, 1999–2015. In T. Wuam, O. Egbefo, L. Salahu, G. Jatau, & Y. Bako (Eds.), *Democracy in Nigeria: A reader* (pp. 564–565). Aboki Publishers.

Kolawole, D. (2017). Democracy, nation-building and national development. In S. Obiyan (Ed.), *Democracy, nation building and national development in Nigeria: Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference of the Nigerian Political Science Association, South-West Zone*. Obafemi Awolowo University.

Mohammed, K. (2019). Democracy and the rule of law in Sub-Saharan Africa. In T. Wuam, O. Egbefo, L. Salahu, G. Jatau, & Y. Bako (Eds.), *Democracy in Nigeria: A reader*. Aboki Publishers.

Ogundiwin, A., & Ogu, I. (2022). *Politics, government and the state*. Babcock University Press.

Omotola, J. (2021). *The troubled trinity: Elections, democracy and development in Nigeria*. Inaugural lecture presented at Federal University Oye-Ekiti, 14 December 2021.

Owonikoko, S. B., & Ashindorbe, K. (2025). Trend analysis of voter turnout in Nigeria's general elections, 1999–2023. *The Round Table: The Commonwealth Journal of International Affairs, 114*(1), 18–33.

© 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the **Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence** (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)